SCORE FOLLOWING WITH HIDDEN TEMPO USING A SWITCHING STATE-SPACE MODEL

Yucong Jiang (yjiang3@richmond.edu) University of Richmond

Chris Raphael (craphael@indiana.edu) Indiana University Bloomington

Introduction

What is Score Following?

The score-following problem involves building a computer program that can trace musical events in a given musical score during a live performance.

Why Score Following?

- Page turner
- Automatic accompaniment systems ο
- Virtual score composition
- Real-time audio enhancement/feedback



Monophonic music:



Polyphonic music:





Existing score-following algorithms can still stumble on some challenging cases, especially when the data model is not reliable:

- Shared notes among neighboring chords 0
- Blurring effects caused by fast playing
- 0 Pedaling



• To present a new method designed to improve the timing model -this aspect is especially meaningful in those challenging cases. • To understand the nature of this problem better through empirical experiments.

1. In the first diagram, the "time step" is the **chord index**. 2. In the second diagram, the "time step" is the audio frame index.



1. Kalman Filter Model for Tempo

a linear dynamical system:

smooth
tempo
$$t_{k+1} = o_k + l_k t_k + \varepsilon_{k+1}$$
$$c_1 \sim N(\mu_{o,1}, \sigma_{o,1}^2)$$
$$t_1 \sim N(\mu_{t,1}, \sigma_{t,1}^2)$$



Figure 4.1: Linear dynamical system of the tempo and the onset.





Computation

Tree Representation

0[3]

1[1] /

 \bigwedge \square Λ

0[5] **1**[1] **1**[2] **2**[1] **1**[3] **2**[1] **2**[2] **3**[1]

÷

#Excerpts

3

3

2

2

8

5

5

1

4

1

3

1

8

0[4]

11 \sum

Abbreviation

Chopin_barcarolle

Chopin_prelude

Chopin_ballade

Rachmaninoff

Schubert_780

Debussy_violes

Debussy_fille

Beethoven_31

Schubert_ständchen

Beethoven_pathétique

Mozart

Liszt

Schumann

0[2]

1[1]

2[1]

1[2] /

n = 1

n = 2

n = 3

n = 4

n = 5

n = 6

- [Chord Age]: the number of frames a chord has lasted so far.
- Left Branch: staying in the same 0 chord.
- Right Branch: moving on to the next 0 chord.

The tree grows exponentially with time (or with n)!

Approximation



$\langle \rangle$	\square	\square	Δ	"	Δ	\wedge	\wedge	\wedge	\square	Δ
							:			

1[2]

2[1]

1[3] /

1[4]

2[1]

3[1]

2[2] /

2[1] **2**[2] **3**[1] **2**[3] **3**[1] **3**[2] **4**[1]

0[1]

- At each frame, merge nodes with the same label and age.
 - Use a single Gaussian to approximate a mixture of Gaussians:



- Experiments b
- 15 solo piano pieces 0
- 50 excerpts 0
- Typical length: 40~90 seconds 0
- 0 48 minutes in total
- Sampling rate: 8k Hz 0
- Hop size: 16 ms 0

12 excerpts failed (accuracy < 40%) by either program:

failed excerpts

The proposed method is measurably better than the baseline:

average accuracy

Baseline: Music Plus One 0 (hidden Markov model)



Composer

Schumann

Mozart

Chopin

Chopin

Chopin

Schubert

Schubert

Debussy

Debussy

Beethoven

Beethoven

0

0

0

Liszt

Piece

Piano Concerto No. 17 in G major, mvmt1

Piano Concerto in A minor, mvmt1

Paganini S.141, No. 3 (La campanella)

Ständchen, D 957 No. 4 from Schwanengesang

Prelude, No. 8 (La fille aux cheveux de lin)

Piano Sonata No. 8 (Sonata Pathétique)

Barcarolle, Op. 60

Ballade No. 1

Rachmaninoff Prelude, Op. 3, No. 2

Prelude, Op. 28 No. 4

Six Moments, D. 780 No. 2

Prelude, No. 2 (Violes)

Piano Sonata No. 31

Frame-wise accuracy:

$$Acc_n = \sum_{\substack{k_n = \kappa_n \\ 1 \le a_n \le n - \kappa_n + 1}} p(k_n, a_n | y_1^n)$$

correct hypotheses

$$Acc = \sum_{n} Acc_n / N$$

coefficient value đ -0.04-2.7307 t df 37 p-value 0.009623

• fatal error high uncertainty

	baseline	tempo tracking
average accuracy	65.0%	69.1%

11

15.1%

baseline tempo tracking

9

22.1%

Table 5.10: Average accuracies of 38 excerpts.



Time

Use a "movie" version of the barplot, which can show the hypothesis distribution one-by-one quickly through all frames of an excerpt, to inspect the nature of errors in these excerpts:

Excerpt	Piece	Baseline	Tempo	Observation	
#23	Liszt	25.7%	51.2%	Baseline got lost half-way through after a section of 14 repeated chords in a row.	
#43	Beethoven_31	31.8%	46.5%	Incorrect default tempo. 14.4% higher accuracy among the other six excerpts (successfully followed).	
#46	Beethoven_31	34.0%	62.7%		
#15	Chopin_ballade	0.4%	27.2%	Baseline: completely lost near the beginning when the sound was blurring.	
#16	Chopin_ballade	0.3%	36.2%	Tempo: followed the region.	
#13	Chopin_ballade	11.8%	27.5%	Baseline: got lost starting around 1/3 through when the sound started to blur. Tempo: sometimes "confused," but always recovered.	
#19	Liszt	2.1%	18.6%	Both programs got lost near the beginning (repeated chords and patterns), but only the proposed method recovered.	
#21	Liszt	0.5%	13.4%		



We can speculate that treating the tempo as a variable helps the program adapt to unpredictable performance variations, and that modeling the tempo as smooth helps discriminate among hypotheses.

In conclusion, this paper presents an innovative new method for improved scorefollowing, and suggests a promising direction for future research endeavors.

Results