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the three classes — of Senators, Equites, and Tribuni Aerarii (Vell. II.32). The Tribuni Aerarii were taken from the rest of the citizens, and were, or ought to have been, persons of some property. Thus the three decuriae of Judices were formed; and it was either in consequence of the Lex Aurelia or some other lex that, instead of one urn for all the tablets, the decuriae¹ had severally their balloting urn, so that the votes of the three classes were known. Dio Cassius (XXXVIII.8) ascribes this regulation to a Lex Fufia, and he says that the object was that the votes of the decuriae might be known, though those of individuals could not, owing to the voting being secret. It is not known if the Lex Aurelia determined the number of Judices in any given case. A Lex Pompeia passed in the second consulate of Pompey (B.C. 55), seems to have made some modifications in the Lex Aurelia, as to the qualification of the Judices; but the new provisions of this lex are only known from Asconius (commentator on Cicero's speeches who wrote under the emperor Claudius), who explains them in terms which are very far from being clear. The Lex Pompeia de Vi, and De Ambitu (B.C. 52) determined that eighty judices were to be selected by lot, out of whom the accuser and the accuser might reject thirty. In the case of Clodius (B.C. 61), in the matter of the Bona Dea, there were fifty-six judices. It is conjectured that the number fixed for a given case, by the Lex Aurelia, was seventy judices.

¹ A decuria means a group of 10 men in Latin, but had come to mean the panels of Senators in a jury. Through the Lex Aurelia Judiciaria (70 BC) these panels were drawn from three distinct census classes and thus decuria comes to mean the panel on the jury of a specific class. As the laws also stipulated that there be 70 members of the jury, approximately 23 men would be selected from each class to make that class' decuria on the jury which would consist of three decuriae each with its own voting earn.