

The backward shift on H^p

William T. Ross

In memory of Semen Yakovlevich Khavinson.

1. Introduction

In this semi-expository paper, we examine the backward shift operator

$$Bf := \frac{f - f(0)}{z}$$

on the classical Hardy space H^p . Though there are many aspects of this operator worthy of study [20], we will focus on the description of its invariant subspaces by which we mean the closed linear manifolds $\mathcal{E} \subset H^p$ for which $B\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}$. When $1 < p < \infty$, a seminal paper of Douglas, Shapiro, and Shields [8] describes these invariant subspaces by using the important concept of a pseudocontinuation developed earlier by Shapiro [26]. When $p = 1$, the description is the same [1] except that in the proof, one must be mindful of some technical considerations involving the functions of bounded mean oscillation.

The $p \geq 1$ case involves heavy use of duality and especially the Hahn-Banach separation theorem where one gets at \mathcal{E} by first looking at \mathcal{E}^\perp , the annihilator of \mathcal{E} , and then returning to \mathcal{E} by ${}^\perp(\mathcal{E}^\perp)$. On the other hand, when $0 < p < 1$, H^p is no longer locally convex and the Hahn-Banach separation theorem fails [12]. In fact, as we shall see in § 4, there are invariant subspaces $\mathcal{E} \neq H^p$, $0 < p < 1$, for which ${}^\perp(\mathcal{E}^\perp) = H^p$. Despite these difficulties, an ingenious *tour de force* approach of Aleksandrov [1] (see also [6]), using such tools as distribution theory and the atomic decomposition theorem, characterizes these invariant subspaces.

The first several sections of this paper are a leisurely, non-technical, treatment of the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields and Aleksandrov results. In § 5, we focus on some new results, based on techniques in [4], which give an alternative description of certain invariant subspaces of H^p . As a consequence, we eventually wind up characterizing the weakly closed invariant subspaces of H^p . In § 6, we make some remarks about the invariant subspaces of the standard Bergman spaces L_a^p when $0 < p < 1$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30H05; Secondary 47B38.

Key words and phrases. H^p spaces, backward shift operator, invariant subspaces, pseudocontinuation.

2. Preliminaries

We begin with some basic definitions and well-known results about the Hardy spaces H^p . A detailed treatment can be found in [11]. For $0 < p < \infty$, let H^p denote the space of analytic functions f on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ whose L^p integral means

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

are uniformly bounded for $r \in (0, 1)$. These means increase as $r \nearrow 1$ and we define

$$\|f\|_p := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p}.$$

For almost every (with respect to Lebesgue measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} := \partial\mathbb{D}$) $e^{i\theta}$, the radial limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(re^{i\theta})$$

exists and we denote its value by $f(e^{i\theta})$, or perhaps $f^*(e^{i\theta})$ when we want to emphasize this almost everywhere defined boundary function. Moreover,

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |f^*(e^{i\theta})|^p \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right)^{1/p}.$$

One can show that $f \in H^p$ satisfies the pointwise estimate

$$|f(z)| \leq 2^{1/p} \|f\|_p (1 - |z|)^{-1/p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

As a result, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the quantity $\|f\|_p$ defines a norm that makes H^p a Banach space while for $0 < p < 1$, $\|f - g\|_p^p$ defines a translation invariant metric that makes H^p a complete metric space. In either case, $f(re^{i\theta}) \rightarrow f^*(e^{i\theta})$ almost everywhere and in the norm (metric) of L^p . When $p = \infty$, H^∞ will denote the bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} with the sup-norm $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\}$.

Since $f \rightarrow f^*$ is an isometry of H^p to L^p , one can regard H^p as a closed subspace of L^p . In fact, at least when $1 \leq p < \infty$, we can think of H^p in the following way

$$H^p = \{f \in L^p : \widehat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for all } n < 0\},$$

where $\widehat{f}(n)$ is the n -th Fourier coefficient of f . This follows from the F. and M. Riesz theorem [11, p. 41].

Every function $f \in H^p$ can be factored as $f = \phi\Theta$, where $\phi \in H^\infty$ with $|\phi^*(e^{i\theta})| = 1$ almost everywhere (such functions are called ‘inner functions’) and $\Theta \in H^p$ has no zeros on \mathbb{D} and satisfies

$$\log |\Theta(0)| = \int_0^{2\pi} \log |\Theta^*(e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

(such functions are called ‘outer functions’). Moreover, except for a unimodular constant, this factorization is unique.

Identifying the dual, $(H^p)^*$, of H^p with a space of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} is often, but not always, the key to understanding the structure of its invariant subspaces. The dual pairing between H^p and $(H^p)^*$, as a space of analytic functions, is the following ‘Cauchy pairing’. For analytic functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

on the disk \mathbb{D} , define

$$(2.1) \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n r^n,$$

whenever this limit exists. A simple computation with power series shows that if $\langle f, g \rangle$ exists, then

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_0^{2\pi} f(re^{i\theta}) \bar{g}(re^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, the dual of H^p can be identified with H^q , where q is the conjugate index to p , and this comes somewhat easily. Notice that for $f \in H^p$ and $g \in H^q$, we have $f_r \rightarrow f$ in H^p and $g_r \rightarrow g$ in H^q , where $f_r(z) := f(rz)$. Thus, by Hölder’s inequality,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} f \bar{g} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

Certainly, the linear functional $f \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$ is continuous on H^p for fixed $g \in H^q$. On the other hand, if $\ell \in (H^p)^*$, the Hahn-Banach extension theorem says that

$$(2.2) \quad \ell(f) = \int_0^{2\pi} f \bar{g} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

for some $g \in L^q$. Using the continuity of the Riesz projection operator $P : L^q \rightarrow H^q$

$$P \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(n) e^{in\theta} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{g}(n) e^{in\theta}$$

and the identities

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f \bar{g} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} f \overline{Pg} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \langle f, Pg \rangle,$$

one can replace, in (2.2) and hence (2.1), the above $g \in L^q$ with a unique function in H^q . A little technical detail shows that norm of the linear functional $f \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$ is equivalent to the H^q norm of g . Thus $(H^p)^*$ can be identified with H^q via the dual pairing in (2.1).

When $p = 1$, the above analysis breaks down. Certainly if $\ell \in (H^1)^*$, then

$$\ell(f) = \int_0^{2\pi} f \bar{g} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

for some $g \in L^\infty$. However, when one tries to imitate the above analysis and replace g with Pg in the above integral, there are problems. For one, $P(L^\infty) =$

$BMOA \supsetneq H^\infty$, where $BMOA$ are the analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation¹. Secondly, there are $f \in H^1$ and $g \in BMOA$, for which $f\bar{g} \notin L^1$. These technical problems are not insurmountable since, for $f \in H^1$ and $g \in BMOA$, the quantity $\langle f, g \rangle$ (as in (2.1)) does indeed exist and $f \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$ defines a continuous linear functional on H^1 . In fact, these are all the linear functionals on H^1 . Another technical detail says that the norm of $f \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$ is equivalent to the $BMOA$ norm of g . In summary, we can identify the dual of H^1 with $BMOA$ via the dual pairing in (2.1). See [13, Ch. 6] for more details on all this.

When $0 < p < 1$, surprisingly, there are non-trivial bounded linear functionals on H^p . Surprisingly since when $0 < p < 1$, $(L^p)^* = (0)$ [7]. The theorem here is one of Duren, Romberg, and Shields [12] and says that if ℓ is a bounded linear functional on H^p , then there is a unique g belonging O_p , a subspace of the disk algebra, so that $\ell(f) = \langle f, g \rangle$. Conversely, for $g \in O_p$, $f \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$ defines an element of $(H^p)^*$. The space O_β , for $\beta > 0$, is the set of analytic functions g on the disk for which

$$\|g\|_\beta := \sup_{|z| < 1} |g^{[1/\beta]}(z)|(1 - |z|) < \infty,$$

where, if $g(z) = \sum a_n z^n$,

$$g^{[\alpha]}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1)} a_n z^n$$

is the fractional derivative of g of order α . The classes O_β can be equivalently characterized as Lipschitz or Zygmund spaces. For example, if $1/2 < p < 1$, then O_p is the space of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} which have continuous extensions to \mathbb{D}^- and such that

$$\sup_{\theta \neq t} \frac{|g(e^{i\theta}) - g(e^{it})|}{|\theta - t|^{1/p-1}} < \infty.$$

For other p 's, one requires the derivatives (depending on p) of g to have certain smoothness on \mathbb{T} . In general, the smaller the p , the more derivatives of g that need to satisfy a Lipschitz or Zygmund condition on \mathbb{T} in order for g to belong to O_p . One can show that the norm of the functional $f \rightarrow \langle f, g \rangle$ is equivalent to the O_p norm of g ². Thus we identify $(H^p)^*$ with O_p when $0 < p < 1$ via (2.1). Again, consult [12] for the details.

¹ $g \in L^1$ is of bounded mean oscillation BMO if $\|g\| = \|g\|_{L^1} + \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |g - g_I| d\theta < \infty$, where $g_I = |I|^{-1} \int_I g d\theta$ and $|I|$ is the length of an arc $I \subset \mathbb{T}$. $BMOA := BMO \cap H^1$.

²Technically $\|g\|_\beta$ is only a semi-norm on O_β . One can make this a true norm by adding in $|g(0)| + |g'(0)| + \dots + |g^{[1/\beta]}(0)|$, where $[x]$ is the greatest integer less than x .

3. The backward shift on H^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$

If $1 < p < \infty$, notice that the backward shift B on H^p is the Banach space adjoint of the forward shift operator $Sf = zf$ on H^q , that is to say

$$\langle Bf, g \rangle = \langle f, Sg \rangle, \quad f \in H^p, \quad g \in H^q.$$

Thus if $\mathcal{E} \subsetneq H^p$ is an invariant subspace for B , then

$$\mathcal{E}^\perp := \{g \in H^q : \langle f, g \rangle = 0 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{E}\},$$

the ‘annihilator’ of \mathcal{E} , is an S -invariant subspace of H^q . A celebrated theorem of Beurling [11, p. 114] says that $\mathcal{E}^\perp = \phi H^q$ for some non-constant inner function ϕ . By the Hahn-Banach separation theorem,

$$\mathcal{E} = {}^\perp(\mathcal{E}^\perp) = {}^\perp(\phi H^q),$$

where for $A \subset H^q$, ${}^\perp A := \{f \in H^p : \langle f, g \rangle = 0, \forall g \in A\}$ is the ‘pre-annihilator’ of A . So the problem of describing \mathcal{E} is reduced to characterizing, in some function-theoretic way, this pre-annihilator ${}^\perp(\phi H^q)$.

The function theoretic tool, the concept of a pseudocontinuation, used here was developed by Shapiro in some earlier work [26] and we now take a few moments to point out some basic facts about pseudocontinuations. Suppose that h is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{D} and H is a meromorphic on \mathbb{D}_e . There is no *a priori* reason why the non-tangential limits of h (from \mathbb{D}) and H (from \mathbb{D}_e) need to exist. But *if* they do, *and* they are equal almost everywhere, we say that H is a ‘pseudocontinuation’ of h . Two representative examples of functions with a pseudocontinuation are the following.

Example 3.1. 1. If h is an inner function, then

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{\overline{h(1/\bar{z})}}$$

is a pseudocontinuation of h . This follows from that fact that $h^* \overline{h^*} = 1$ almost everywhere. Also notice, for example, that if h is a Blaschke product whose zeros accumulate on all of the circle, then h , although a pseudocontinuable function, will not have an analytic continuation across any point of the unit circle.

2. Another example of a pseudocontinuation is when h is a Cauchy integral

$$h(z) := \int \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta} z} d\mu(e^{i\theta}),$$

where μ is a finite Borel measure on \mathbb{T} that is singular with respect to Lebesgue measure. If H is the above Cauchy integral but with $z \in \mathbb{D}_e$, one can show that h and H are H^p functions (for $0 < p < 1$) on their respective domains [11, p. 39]³ and so have finite non-tangential limits

³The Hardy space of the extended exterior disk $\mathbb{D}_e := \{z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} : 1 < |z| \leq \infty\}$ is defined by $H^p(\mathbb{D}_e) := \{f(1/z) : f \in H^p\}$. Note that if $f \in H^p$, then $\bar{f}(e^{i\theta})$ is the boundary function for a function belonging to $H^p(\mathbb{D}_e)$, the function being $\bar{f}(1/\bar{z})$.

almost everywhere. Notice that

$$h(z) - h(1/\bar{z}) = \int_0^{2\pi} P_z(e^{i\theta}) d\mu(e^{i\theta}),$$

where $P_z(e^{i\theta})$ is the Poisson kernel. Using a classical theorem of Fatou [11, p. 39], which says that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} P_z(e^{i\theta}) d\mu(e^{i\theta}) \rightarrow 2\pi\mu'(e^{i\theta})$$

for almost every $e^{i\theta}$ as $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$, and the fact that μ is singular (and so $\mu' = 0$ almost everywhere), one can show that the non-tangential limits of h and H are equal almost everywhere.

Let us make a few general comments about pseudocontinuations. The first is that they are unique. Indeed, if H_1 and H_2 are two pseudocontinuations of h , then $H_1 - H_2$ is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{D}_e that has zero non-tangential limits almost everywhere. A classical theorem of Privalov [16, p. 62] says that any meromorphic function that has zero non-tangential limits on a subset of \mathbb{T} with positive Lebesgue measure must be identically zero. Hence h can have only one pseudocontinuation. Here is why we use *non-tangential limits* rather than *radial limits* in the definition of a pseudocontinuation. If radial limits were used, then pseudocontinuations would not be unique. Indeed, there are non-trivial analytic functions on \mathbb{D} which have radial limits equal to zero almost everywhere [5] - thus the zero function would be a pseudocontinuation without the original function being the zero function. Certainly, when we are talking about H^p functions this cannot happen since the non-tangential limits exist almost everywhere anyway. But in general, we need to make this important distinction.

Another consequence of Privalov's uniqueness theorem is that if h has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood U of $e^{i\theta}$ and a pseudocontinuation H , then $h = H$ on $U \cap \mathbb{D}_e$, that is to say, pseudocontinuation is compatible with the classical notion of analytic continuation.

The point at infinity is important. The function $h(z) = e^z$ certainly has an analytic continuation across \mathbb{T} . However, it does not have a pseudocontinuation as we have defined it above since $H(z) = e^z$ has an essential singularity at infinity. The interested reader is invited to consult [23] for a more detailed discussion of pseudocontinuations.

The function theoretic description of ${}^\perp(\phi H^q)$ is the following well-known theorem.

Proposition 3.2 (Douglas-Shapiro-Shields). *Let ϕ be an inner function and $1 < p < \infty$. For $f \in H^p$, the following are equivalent:*

1. $f \in {}^\perp(\phi H^q)$
2. $f^* \in H^p \cap \overline{\phi H_0^p}$, where $H_0^p = \{f \in H^p : f(0) = 0\}$.

3. The meromorphic function f/ϕ on \mathbb{D} has a pseudocontinuation to a function $\widetilde{f}_\phi \in H^p(\mathbb{D}_e)$ with $\widetilde{f}_\phi(\infty) = 0$.

It is important to note that the space

$$(3.3) \quad H^p \cap \overline{\phi H_0^p} = \{f \in H^p : f^* = \phi^* \overline{h^*}, h \in H_0^p\}^4$$

must be understood as a space of functions on the circle and not on the disk. For fixed $1 < p < \infty$ and inner function ϕ , we let $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi)$ be the collection of H^p functions that satisfy one of the equivalent conditions in Proposition 3.2. Since $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi)$ is an annihilating subspace, it is closed in H^p . It also follows from the above argument that $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi)$ is invariant. Combining this with what was said above, we have the following summary theorem.

Theorem 3.4 (Douglas-Shapiro-Shields). *For $1 < p < \infty$, a subspace $\mathcal{E} \subsetneq H^p$, is invariant if and only if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^p(\phi)$ for some inner function ϕ .*

Before proceeding to the $p = 1$ case, we mention a few other items of interest. Using a Morera type argument, one can show that every $f \in \mathcal{E}^p(\phi)$ has an analytic continuation to the set

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{1/\bar{z} : z \in \sigma(\phi)\},$$

where

$$\sigma(\phi) := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{D}^- : \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow z} |\phi(\lambda)| = 0 \right\}^5.$$

Note that ϕ has an analytic continuation to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{1/\bar{z} : z \in \sigma(\phi)\}$ [13, p. 75-76]. Furthermore, by the compatibility of pseudocontinuation with analytic continuation, the analytic continuation of f/ϕ to $\mathbb{D}_e \setminus \{1/\bar{z} : z \in \sigma(\phi)\}$ must be equal to \widetilde{f}_ϕ , the pseudocontinuation of f/ϕ .

Another interesting item is that if $f = B\phi$, then

$$[f]_{H^p} := \bigvee \{B^n f : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} = \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^p(\phi)},$$

where \bigvee is the closed linear span in the H^p norm. This says that $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi)$ is a ‘cyclic invariant subspace’ generated by $f = B\phi$. While we are mentioning cyclic vectors, there is a celebrated result that determines exactly when a particular $f \in H^p$ is ‘cyclic’, that is to say $[f]_{H^p} = H^p$.

Theorem 3.5 (Douglas-Shapiro-Shields). *For $1 \leq p < \infty$, a vector $f \in H^p$ is non-cyclic for the backward shift if and only if f has a pseudocontinuation of bounded type, i.e., there is a meromorphic function \widetilde{f} on \mathbb{D}_e , that can be written as a quotient of two bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D}_e , such that \widetilde{f} is a pseudocontinuation of f .*

⁴Recall from the preliminaries that $f^*(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(re^{i\theta})$ almost everywhere.

⁵A basic fact about inner functions is that if $\phi = bs_\mu$, where b is a Blaschke product and s_μ is a singular inner function with associated positive singular measure μ on \mathbb{T} (all inner functions can be factored this way), then $\sigma(\phi)$ is the closure of the zeros of b together with the support of μ .

Though this theorem is both necessary and sufficient, the hypothesis (having a pseudocontinuation of bounded type) is not something easily tested. There are some obvious examples of cyclic vectors like

$$e^{1/(z-2)} \quad \text{and} \quad e^z$$

which are not meromorphic on \mathbb{D}_e , and

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-n}}{z - (1 + 1/n)}$$

which has a pseudocontinuation, but not of bounded type (too many poles). Notice that we are using the uniqueness of pseudocontinuations here and the fact that if a function has an analytic continuation across a point of the circle, then the analytic continuation must agree with its pseudocontinuation. Along these lines, the vector $\sqrt{1-z}$ is a cyclic vector since its pseudocontinuation, which must be $\sqrt{1-z}$, can not have a branch cut. Less obvious examples of cyclic vectors are H^p functions given by Hadamard gap series [27] such as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} z^{2^n}$$

or Fabry gap series [2]

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} z^{n^2}.$$

Actually, both of these gap series have the following stronger pathological property: There exists no $1 < R < \infty$ and no meromorphic function \tilde{f} on $\{z : 1 < |z| < R\}$ such that the nontangential limits of \tilde{f} and f agree almost everywhere. See also [23] for further details and other pathological examples of this type.

The $p = 1$ case is a bit pesky and poses some technical challenges that were overcome by Aleksandrov (see [1] or [6, p. 101]). If $\mathcal{E} \subset H^1$ is invariant, then \mathcal{E}^\perp is an S -invariant subspace of $BMOA$, closed in the weak-* topology $BMOA$ inherits by being the dual of H^1 . However, the description of these S -invariant subspaces is not as simple as $\phi BMOA$ (ϕ inner), as in Beurling's theorem for H^p . In fact, $\phi BMOA$ may not even be a subset of $BMOA$. That is to say, ϕ may not be a 'multiplier' of $BMOA$ [30]. The second technical challenge is that the dual pairing between H^1 and $BMOA$ is

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_0^{2\pi} f \bar{g}_r \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

and not simply

$$(3.7) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} f \bar{g} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

This may not seem like a major difference but the proof of the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields theorem makes use of the F. and M. Riesz theorem [11, p. 40-41]

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{[0,2\pi]} e^{in\theta} d\mu(\theta) = 0 \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \Leftrightarrow d\mu(\theta) = f(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad f \in H^1,$$

for which we need to write the dual pairing $\langle f, g \rangle$ as an integral, as in (3.7), and not as a limit of integrals, as in (3.6). Nevertheless, one can show that $\mathcal{E} \cap H^2$ is not equal to H^2 , is closed in the norm of H^2 , and is invariant and hence takes the form $\mathcal{E}^2(\phi)$ (Theorem 3.4). Using the $(H^1, BMOA)$ duality, one can show that $\mathcal{E}^2(\phi)$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}^1(\phi)$. Here $\mathcal{E}^1(\phi) := H^1 \cap \overline{\phi H_0^1}$, or equivalently, the space of H^1 functions f such that f/ϕ has a pseudocontinuation to a function $\widehat{f}_\phi \in H^1(\mathbb{D}_e)$ that vanishes at infinity. Thus $\mathcal{E}^1(\phi) \subset \mathcal{E}$. The other inclusion is also a bit tricky but nevertheless true. The summary theorem here is the following.

Theorem 3.9 (Aleksandrov).

1. A subspace $\mathcal{E} \subsetneq H^1$ is invariant if and only if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^1(\phi)$ for some inner function ϕ .
2. If $f = B\phi$, then $[f]_{H^1} = \mathcal{E}^1(\phi)$, that is to say $\mathcal{E}^1(\phi)$ is cyclic.
3. A vector $f \in H^1$ is non-cyclic, i.e., $[f]_{H^1} \neq H^1$, if and only if f has a pseudocontinuation of bounded type.

4. The backward shift on H^p for $0 < p < 1$

Characterizing the invariant subspaces of H^p when $0 < p < 1$ poses special challenges. For example, H^p ($0 < p < 1$), with its metric topology, is no longer locally convex and the Hahn-Banach separation theorem, a key tool in understanding the $p \geq 1$ case, fails⁶.

Example 4.1. For each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, the function $(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{-1}$ belongs to H^p for all $0 < p < 1$ and so we can consider the following subspace of H^p :

$$\mathcal{E} := \bigvee \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z} : 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \right\}.$$

When z is on the unit circle, we have

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z} = \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{z} - e^{-i\theta}} \in \overline{H_0^p}$$

and so $\mathcal{E} \subset H^p \cap \overline{H_0^p} \neq H^p$. As an aside, one can show that indeed $\mathcal{E} = H^p \cap \overline{H_0^p}$ (see [1] or [6, p. 116]). Again we remind the reader that $H^p \cap \overline{H_0^p}$ is a space of functions on the unit circle (see (3.3)). We claim that $\mathcal{E}^\perp = (0)$. Indeed, if $g = \sum_n b_n z^n \in O_p = (H^p)^*$ belongs to \mathcal{E}^\perp , then for all θ ,

$$0 = \left\langle \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z}, g \right\rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-in\theta} \overline{b_n} r^n = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \overline{g}(re^{i\theta}) = \overline{g}(e^{i\theta}),$$

⁶The Hahn-Banach extension theorem also fails in H^p ($0 < p < 1$). Indeed, there is a closed subspace A of H^p and a continuous linear functional on A which cannot be extended continuously to all of H^p [12].

making g the zero function.

Example 4.1 shows that describing an invariant subspace \mathcal{E} of H^p ($0 < p < 1$) by first examining \mathcal{E}^\perp and then returning to \mathcal{E} via the Hahn-Banach separation theorem $\mathcal{E} = {}^\perp(\mathcal{E}^\perp)$ is of no use here. In the above example, $\mathcal{E} \neq H^p$, but ${}^\perp(\mathcal{E}^\perp) = {}^\perp(0) = H^p$. As it turns out though, the invariant subspaces of H^p ($0 < p < 1$) can be characterized but the description is not the same as before (namely $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi)$ spaces) and the proof is much more difficult, involving many advanced tools in analysis. This complicated but beautiful characterization was accomplished by Aleksandrov [1] and we spend a few moments stating his result.

With the use of duality out, one must discover what functions belong to a given invariant subspace almost by hand. Given $0 < p < 1$ and an invariant subspace $\mathcal{E} \subset H^p$, we notice that $\mathcal{E} \cap H^2$ is a closed (in the H^2 norm) invariant subspace of H^2 which, by the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields theorem (Theorem 3.4), equals $\mathcal{E}^2(\phi)$ for some inner function ϕ . If $\mathcal{E} \cap H^2 = (0)$, which can indeed be the case by Example 4.1, we take the ϕ to be the constant function $\phi = 1$. This makes sense since $\mathcal{E}^2(1) = H^2 \cap \overline{H_0^2} = (0)$ (F. and M. Riesz theorem - (3.8)).

Let $F \subset \mathbb{T}$ be the following set

$$F := \left\{ e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} : \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z} \in \mathcal{E} \right\}.$$

One can show that F is a closed subset of \mathbb{T} and that $\sigma(\phi) \cap \mathbb{T} \subset F$. Also consider a function

$$k : F \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cap [1, n_p],$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad n_p := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, 1/p]\},$$

defined by

$$k(e^{i\theta}) := \max \left\{ j \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, n_p] : \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^j} \in \mathcal{E} \right\}.$$

Note that a simple integral calculation shows that $(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{-j} \in H^p$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N} \cap [1, n_p]$. One can show that if F_0 is the set of isolated points of F , then $k(e^{i\theta}) = n_p$ whenever $e^{i\theta} \in (F \setminus F_0) \cup (\sigma(\phi) \cap \mathbb{T})$.

With these three parameters ϕ, F, k , form the space $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$ of functions $f \in H^p$ such that

1. $\widetilde{f^*} \in H^p \cap \overline{\phi H_0^p}$, or equivalently f/ϕ has a pseudocontinuation to a function $\widetilde{f_\phi} \in H^p(\mathbb{D}_e)$ that vanishes at infinity;
2. f has an analytic continuation to a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T} \setminus F$;
3. At each $e^{i\theta} \in F_0 \setminus \sigma(\phi)$, f has a pole of order at most $k(e^{i\theta})$.

Before moving on, let us give a non-trivial example of a function belonging to $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$. This example will become important later on.

Example 4.3. Suppose $F \subset \mathbb{T}$ is a closed set of Lebesgue measure zero. We assume, as usual, that $\sigma(\phi) \cap \mathbb{T} \subset F$. Consider the function

$$(K\mu)(z) := \int \frac{d\mu(e^{i\theta})}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z},$$

where μ is a finite Borel measure on \mathbb{T} whose support is exactly F . As mentioned earlier in Example 3.1, $K\mu|_{\mathbb{D}} \in H^p$ and $K\mu|_{\mathbb{D}_e} \in H^p(\mathbb{D}_e)$ and moreover, since μ is singular with respect to Lebesgue measure, these two functions are pseudocontinuations of each other. Furthermore, $K\mu$ has an analytic continuation across $\mathbb{T} \setminus F$ and at each isolated point of F , $K\mu$ has a pole of order one. Finally, note from Example 3.1, that the inner function ϕ has a pseudocontinuation

$$\tilde{\phi}(z) = \frac{1}{\overline{\phi(1/\bar{z})}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_e$$

and so $K\mu/\phi$ has a pseudocontinuation $K\mu/\tilde{\phi}$ which belongs to $H^p(\mathbb{D}_e)$ and vanishes at infinity. Thus $K\mu \in \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$, at least when F has Lebesgue measure zero.

Though somewhat involved to prove, one can show that $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$ is a non-trivial closed invariant subspace of H^p (invariance is clear, closed is what is difficult to prove). Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k).$$

To obtain the reverse inclusion, Aleksandrov defines the space

$$(4.4) \quad e^p(\phi, F, k) := \mathcal{E}^2(\phi) \vee \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^j} : e^{i\theta} \in F; j = 1, 2, \dots, k(e^{i\theta}) \right\}.$$

From the very definition of the parameters ϕ, F and k , it follows that

$$e^p(\phi, F, k) \subset \mathcal{E}.$$

What is very difficult to prove here is that

$$(4.5) \quad e^p(\phi, F, k) = \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k).$$

Aleksandrov's proof of this fact is quite involved and uses, among other tricks, distribution theory and the Coifman atomic decomposition theorem for H^p . To summarize, we have the following.

Theorem 4.6 (Aleksandrov). *For fixed $0 < p < 1$ and parameters ϕ, F , and k above, the space $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$ is an invariant subspace of H^p . Moreover, every proper invariant subspace of H^p is of the form $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$.*

We close this section with a few remarks. The characterization of the cyclic vectors remains the same: f is non-cyclic if and only if f has a pseudocontinuation of bounded type. One can also show, as in the H^p case when $p \geq 1$ but with a more complicated vector, that $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$ is a cyclic subspace (i.e., generated by one vector). Later on (Theorem 5.6) we will give an alternative characterization of $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$. The curious reader might be wondering why the parameters F and

k are not needed in the $1 \leq p < \infty$ case. Notice that $(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{-j} \notin H^1$ for any $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

5. A closer look at Aleksandrov's theorem

Aleksandrov's theorem says that when $0 < p < 1$, a non-trivial invariant subspace of H^p takes the form $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$ (as described in §4). In this section, we show that under certain natural conditions, there is an alternative description of $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$. To do this, we will characterize the weakly closed invariant subspaces of H^p .

Let us say a few words about the weak topology on H^p ($0 < p < 1$). The reader can refer to [12] for further details and examples. Recall from § 2 that $(H^p)^*$ can be identified (with equivalent norm) with a Lipschitz or Zygmund space O_p by means of the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n r^n.$$

A set $U \subset H^p$ is 'weakly open' if given any $f_0 \in U$, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ and $g_1, \dots, g_n \in O_p$ so that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n \{f \in H^p : |\langle f - f_0, g_j \rangle| < \varepsilon\} \subset U.$$

Since the family of semi-norms

$$\{\rho_g(f) := |\langle f, g \rangle| : g \in O_p\}$$

on H^p separates points, standard functional analysis says that (H^p, wk) (H^p endowed with the weak topology) is a locally convex topological vector space [24, p. 64]. Furthermore, $(H^p, wk)^* = O_p$. As a consequence, a linear manifold $E \subset H^p$ is weakly closed if and only if it satisfies the Hahn-Banach separation property: If $f \notin E$, there is a $g \in O_p$ so that $g \perp E$ but $\langle f, g \rangle = 1$, i.e., each point not in E can be separated from E by a bounded linear functional [24, p. 60]. Viewing this another way,

$$(5.1) \quad \text{clos}_{(H^p, wk)} E = {}^\perp(E^\perp),$$

where, for $C \subset O_p$, ${}^\perp C := \{f \in H^p : \langle f, c \rangle = 0 \ \forall c \in C\}$ is the pre-annihilator of C . Finally notice that if E is weakly closed then E is closed in the metric topology.

There is a containing Banach space B^p of H^p namely, the weighted Bergman space of analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} for which the quantity

$$\|f\|_{B^p} := \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 |f(re^{i\theta})| (1-r)^{1/p-2} dr \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

is finite. Certain standard facts about B^p are that H^p is a dense subset of B^p and

$$\|f\|_{B^p} \leq A_p \|f\|_{H^p}, \quad f \in H^p,$$

that is to say, the containment $H^p \subset B^p$ is continuous. Moreover, B^p is a Banach space and $(B^p)^*$ can be identified (with equivalent norm) with the space O_p via the dual pairing in (2.1), i.e.,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \overline{b_n} r^n.$$

Thus B^p and H^p have the same continuous linear functionals. Using this fact along with the Hahn-Banach separation theorem, applied to the Banach space B^p , one can show that if E is a linear manifold in H^p , then

$$\text{clos}_{(H^p, w_k)} E = (\text{clos}_{B^p} E) \cap H^p.$$

See [12, Lemma 8] for details.

For the rest of this section we will assume that $1/2 < p < 1$. For other values of p , most of the results are still true but the notation becomes cumbersome since the description of O_p changes very much with p . That being said, we fix $1/2 < p < 1$, an inner function ϕ , and a closed set $F \subset \mathbb{T}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\sigma(\phi) \cap \mathbb{T} \subset F$. Define

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi, F) := \{g \in O_p : g \in \phi H^\infty, g|_F = 0\}^7.$$

One can easily observe that $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$ is an ideal of O_p . What is more difficult to prove is that when O_p is endowed with the weak-* topology it naturally inherits by being the dual of B^p , then $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$ is weak-* closed. In fact, every non-zero weak-* closed ideal of O_p is of the form $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$. There is a direct proof of this result (with an equivalent weak-* topology on O_p) in [21]. Another, perhaps more indirect, proof is found in [4, Thm. 3.2]⁸ Also, $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F) \neq (0)$ if and only if

$$(5.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \log \text{dist}(e^{i\theta}, \sigma(\phi) \cup F) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} > -\infty$$

(see [32]). In fact, if (5.2) holds, then there is a $g \in A^\infty$ ($g^{(k)}$ has a continuous extension to \mathbb{D}^- for all k) such that $g \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, F) \setminus (0)$ and g generates $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$ in the sense that the smallest weak-* closed ideal containing g is $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$. In this case, ϕ_g , the inner part of g , must be ϕ and $g^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap \mathbb{T}$ must be F [15].

It is worth repeating here that we are assuming, to avoid technical details, that $1/2 < p < 1$. In this case, $n_p = 1$ (see (4.2)) and so for ϕ, F, k as before,

$$\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k) = \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1).$$

Proposition 5.3. $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)^\perp = \mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$.

⁷Recall that functions in O_p have a continuous extension to \mathbb{D}^- and so the notation $g|_F = 0$ makes sense.

⁸The characterization of the ideals of functions ‘smooth up to the boundary’ has been well worked over [15, 17, 18, 19, 25, 31].

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$. Then $g \in \phi H^\infty$ and so g annihilates $\mathcal{E}^2(\phi)$ (being the annihilator of ϕH^2 in H^2). Also, for $e^{i\theta} \in F$,

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z}, g \right\rangle = \bar{g}(e^{i\theta}) = 0.$$

Recalling the definition of $e^p(\phi, F, 1)$ from (4.4), we see that g annihilates $e^p(\phi, F, 1)$ and hence, by Aleksandrov's approximation (4.5), $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$. For the other direction, suppose $g \in O_p$ annihilates $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$. Then g annihilates $\mathcal{E}^2(\phi)$ as well as $(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{-1}$ for all $e^{i\theta} \in F$. It follows now that $g \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$. \square

This proposition yields the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *The following are equivalent.*

1. $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$ is weakly closed.
2. Condition (5.2) is satisfied.
3. $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$ is not weakly dense.

Before getting into the proof, let us set some notation. For $f \in H^p$, let $[f]$ denote the linear span of $\{B^n f : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$, $[f]_{H^p}$ the closure of $[f]$ in the H^p metric, and $[f]_w$ denote the weak-closure of $[f]$. From the definitions of the metric and weak topologies follow the inclusions

$$(5.5) \quad [f] \subset [f]_{H^p} \subset [f]_w.$$

Proof of Corollary 5.4. We will show that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) and (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). If $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$ is weakly closed, it is not weakly dense and so by Proposition 5.3,

$$(0) \neq \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)^\perp = \mathcal{I}(\phi, F).$$

Since $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F) \neq (0)$, then (5.2) must be satisfied. So (1) \Rightarrow (2).

For the other direction, we assume (5.2) is satisfied. We will show that $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$ is weakly closed by showing it has the Hahn-Banach separation property. Let $f_0 \in H^p \setminus (0)$ satisfy $\langle f_0, g \rangle = 0$ for all $g \in \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)^\perp = \mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$. We will show that $f_0 \in \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$.

Since $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$ is an ideal, then $z^n \mathcal{I}(\phi, F) \subset \mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$ and, by using the identity

$$\langle B^n f_0, g \rangle = \langle f_0, z^n g \rangle = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad g \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, F),$$

we see that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, F) \text{ and } f \in [f_0].$$

But since $[f_0]^\perp \neq (0)$ (since $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F) \neq (0)$), then $[f_0]_w \neq H^p$ and hence, by (5.5), $[f_0]_{H^p} \neq H^p$.

It follows now, by Aleksandrov's theorem (Theorem 4.6), that

$$[f_0]_{H^p} = \mathcal{E}^p(\psi, H, 1) = e^p(\psi, H, 1) = \mathcal{E}^2(\psi) \bigvee \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z} : e^{i\theta} \in H \right\},$$

where ψ is inner and H is a closed subset of \mathbb{T} . We assume, as always, that $\sigma(\psi) \cap \mathbb{T} \subset H$. Let $g_1 \in \mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$ so that ϕ_{g_1} (the inner part of g_1) is equal to ϕ

and $g_1^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap \mathbb{T} = F$. This is possible since we are assuming (5.2) and so we can invoke a result in [15] (the ideals are singly generated).

Since $g_1 \perp [f_0]_{H^p}$, then $g_1 \perp \mathcal{E}^2(\psi)$ and so $g_1 \in \psi H^\infty$. It follows now, since $\phi_{g_1} = \phi$, that ψ divides ϕ and so $\mathcal{E}^2(\psi) \subset \mathcal{E}^2(\phi)$. Notice again that $g_1 \perp [f_0]_{H^p}$ and so

$$g_1 \perp \bigvee \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - e^{i\theta}z} : e^{i\theta} \in H \right\}.$$

This means that

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\theta}z}, g_1 \right\rangle = \overline{g_1}(e^{i\theta}) = 0, \quad e^{i\theta} \in H.$$

Since $g^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap \mathbb{T} = F$, then $H \subset F$ and so, again using Aleksandrov's approximation theorem $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1) = e^p(\phi, F, 1)$ and $\mathcal{E}^p(\psi, H, 1) = e^p(\psi, H, 1)$,

$$f_0 \in [f_0]_{H^p} = \mathcal{E}^p(\psi, H, 1) \subset \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1).$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$ satisfies the Hahn-Banach separation property and hence is weakly closed. Hence (2) \Rightarrow (1).

Finally, from (5.1) and Proposition 5.3, notice that for any ϕ and F , the weak closure of $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$ is

$$\perp(\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)^\perp) = \perp \mathcal{I}(\phi, F).$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$ is not weakly dense if and only if (5.2) is satisfied. Hence (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). \square

The following is our alternative description of $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$. The theorem and proof is very similar to a result for weighted Bergman spaces in [4] but, for the sake of completeness, and since there are enough differences, we include it anyway.

Theorem 5.6. *If (5.2) is satisfied, then $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$ is the space of functions $f \in H^p$ such that*

1. $fg \in H^1$
2. f/ϕ has a pseudocontinuation to an $\widetilde{f}_\phi \in H^p(\mathbb{D}_e)$ with $\widetilde{f}_\phi(\infty) = 0$,

where $g \in A^\infty$ with $\phi_g = \phi$ and $g^{-1}(\{0\}) \cap \mathbb{T} = F$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{I}_g (the weak-* closed ideal generated by g) is equal to $\mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$, then, by the equality $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1) = \perp \mathcal{I}(\phi, F)$, we need to show that an $f \in H^p$ satisfies the two hypotheses of the theorem if and only if $f \in \perp \mathcal{I}_g$.

Let $\phi\Theta = g$ be the inner-outer factorization of g . If $f \in H^p$ satisfies the two hypotheses of the theorem, then for almost every θ ,

$$(f\overline{g})(e^{i\theta}) = \widetilde{f}_\phi(e^{i\theta})\overline{\Theta}(e^{i\theta}).$$

The right-hand side of the above equation is the boundary function for

$$\widetilde{f}_\phi(z)\overline{\Theta}(1/\overline{z})$$

which belongs to $H^p(\mathbb{D}_e)$. Moreover, by the assumption that $fg \in H^1$, this boundary function belongs to L^1 and so, by a classical theorem of Smirnov [11, p. 28],

$(f\bar{g})(e^{i\theta})$ is the boundary function for a function belonging to $H^1(\mathbb{D}_e)$. Hence, by the F. and M. Riesz theorem (3.8),

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (f\bar{g})(e^{i\theta})e^{-in\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

By our dual pairing between H^p and O_p , and the fact that $fg \in H^1$, we conclude that

$$\langle f, z^n g \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} (f\bar{g})(e^{i\theta})e^{-in\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots^9$$

This shows that f annihilates the weak-* closed S -invariant subspace of O_p containing g . One can prove (see [4, Thm. 3.2]) that any weak-* closed S -invariant subspace of O_p is an ideal and so $f \in {}^\perp \mathcal{I}_g$ (the weak-* closed ideal generated by g).

Conversely, suppose $f \in {}^\perp \mathcal{I}_g$, or equivalently $f \in \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$, f satisfies the second (pseudocontinuation) condition of the theorem and so we just need to show that fg belongs to H^1 . To this end note that for any integer $n \geq 1$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = n! \int f \overline{(z^{n+1}g)^{(n+1)}} (1 - |z|^2)^n \frac{dA}{\pi},$$

where dA is area measure on the disk \mathbb{D} . For ease in notation, let

$$g_n := \overline{(z^{n+1}g)^{(n+1)}}.$$

We also assume that $n > 1/p$ so that $fg_n(1 - |z|^2)^n$ is bounded on \mathbb{D} . This is possible since g_n is bounded on \mathbb{D} , since we are assuming that $g \in A^\infty$, and all H^p functions f satisfy the growth estimate $|f(z)| \leq C_f(1 - |z|)^{-1/p}$ (recall this from § 2).

With this fixed n , let $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ and note, using the definition of $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$, that

$$\frac{f - f(\lambda)}{z - \lambda} \in \mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$$

and so, since g annihilates $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, 1)$,

$$(5.7) \quad 0 = \left\langle \frac{f - f(\lambda)}{z - \lambda}, g \right\rangle = n! \int \frac{f - f(\lambda)}{z - \lambda} g_n (1 - |z|^2)^n \frac{dA}{\pi}.$$

Let

$$G(\lambda) := n! \int \frac{g_n (1 - |z|^2)^n}{z - \lambda} \frac{dA}{\pi}, \quad H(\lambda) := n! \int \frac{f g_n (1 - |z|^2)^n}{z - \lambda} \frac{dA}{\pi}.$$

Elementary facts about Cauchy transforms of bounded functions on the plane [33, p. 40] show that G and H are continuous functions on \mathbb{C} and satisfy the Lipschitz-type condition

$$(5.8) \quad |G(\lambda_1) - G(\lambda_2)| \leq C_G |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \log \frac{1}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|}.$$

⁹Note, by the dominated convergence theorem and the fact that $fg \in H^1$ and so $f_r g_r \rightarrow fg$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, that $f_r \bar{g}_r - f\bar{g} = (f_r g_r - fg) \bar{g}_r / g_r + fg(\bar{g}_r / g_r - \bar{g}/g)$ converges to zero as $r \rightarrow 1$.

Furthermore, by (5.7),

$$(5.9) \quad f(\lambda)G(\lambda) = H(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}.$$

A computation with power series shows that for $0 < r < 1$

$$G(e^{i\theta}/r) = re^{-i\theta}\bar{g}(re^{i\theta})$$

and so for $r > 1/2$,

$$(5.10) \quad |(fg)(re^{i\theta})| \leq C [|f(re^{i\theta})G(re^{i\theta})| + |f(re^{i\theta})| |G(re^{i\theta}) - G(e^{i\theta}/r)|].$$

By (5.9), the first term on the right-hand side of the above is equal to $|H(re^{i\theta})|$ which is uniformly bounded in r and θ . For the second term, notice from (5.8) that

$$|G(re^{i\theta}) - G(e^{i\theta}/r)| \leq C_G(1-r) \log \frac{1}{1-r}$$

and so, by (5.10),

$$(5.11) \quad |(fg)(re^{i\theta})| \leq C_1 + C_2 |f(re^{i\theta})| (1-r) \log \frac{1}{1-r}.$$

Since $H^p \subset B^p$ then, for any $0 < r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{B^p} &\geq \int_r^1 (1-s)^{1/p-2} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(se^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} ds \\ &\geq \int_r^1 (1-s)^{1/p-2} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} ds \\ &\geq (1/p-1)^{-1} (1-r)^{1/p-1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \leq C_p (1-r)^{1-1/p}.$$

Combining this with (5.11) along with the fact that $1/2 < p < 1$ (and so

$$(1-r)^{2-1/p} \log \frac{1}{1-r}$$

is bounded in r), we conclude that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |(fg)(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

is uniformly bounded in r . Hence $fg \in H^1$. \square

For other p , not in $(1/2, 1)$, the above theorem is still true, though the proof is more technical since the resulting ideal $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)^\perp$ will involve the zeros of the *derivatives* of g on the circle. The proof presented here needs to be changed slightly and for this we refer the reader to [4] where there is a similar result for the invariant subspaces of B^p . Notice that since every weakly closed invariant subspace is also closed in the metric of H^p , we have shown the following corollary.

Corollary 5.12. For $0 < p < 1$ and ϕ, F, k satisfying the condition

$$(5.13) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \log \operatorname{dist}(e^{i\theta}, \sigma(\phi) \cup F) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} > -\infty,$$

$\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$ is a non-trivial weakly closed invariant subspace of H^p . Conversely, every non-trivial weakly closed invariant subspace of H^p takes the form $\mathcal{E}^p(\phi, F, k)$ for some ϕ, F, k satisfying (5.13).

6. The Bergman spaces L_a^p , $0 < p < 1$

We end with some remarks about the invariant subspaces of the Bergman spaces¹⁰ L_a^p ($0 < p < \infty$) of analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} for which

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p dA \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The quantity $\|f - g\|_p$ defines a norm when $1 \leq p < \infty$ while $\|f - g\|_p^p$ defines a translation invariant metric when $0 < p < 1$. In either case, one can use the pointwise estimate

$$|f(z)| \leq \pi^{-1/p} \|f\|_p (1 - |z|)^{-2/p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

to show that L_a^p is an F -space [9, p. 51]. For $f \in L_a^p$, routine integral estimates show that $Bf \in L_a^p$. Using the above pointwise estimate, one proves that the graph of B is closed and so, by the closed graph theorem (which is valid in an F -space [9, p. 57]), B is continuous on L_a^p .

When $1 \leq p < \infty$, one can make heavy use of duality to show that if \mathcal{E} is a non-trivial invariant subspace of L_a^p , then every $f \in \mathcal{E}$ has a pseudocontinuation of bounded type. Moreover, when $1 \leq p < 2$, there is a complete description of \mathcal{E} [3, 4, 22]. We pause for a moment to remark that in order for $f \in \mathcal{E}$ to have a pseudocontinuation, it must first have non-tangential limits almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} . This is automatic for H^p but not for L_a^p . There are indeed examples of functions in L_a^p (or in any of the weighted Bergman spaces such as B^p) which do not even have radial limits almost everywhere [11, p. 86]. Such poorly behaved functions do not belong to non-trivial invariant subspaces of L_a^p .

When $0 < p < 1$, can we say anything about the invariant subspaces of L_a^p ? In this case, L_a^p is not locally convex and so, as in H^p ($0 < p < 1$), duality is of little use. J. Shapiro [28, 29] showed, assuming $0 < p < 1$ as we will do from now on, that $L_a^p \subset B^{p/2}$ and this containment is continuous. Moreover, L_a^p and $B^{p/2}$ have the same set of continuous linear functionals (via the same ‘Cauchy duality’ as in (2.1)), namely $O_{p/2}$. As in the H^p case, there is a corresponding weak topology on L_a^p induced by $O_{p/2}$ and if A is a linear manifold in L_a^p , then

$$(6.1) \quad \operatorname{clos}_{(L_a^p, w_k)} A = (\operatorname{clos}_{B^{p/2}} A) \cap L_a^p.$$

¹⁰Two nice references about Bergman spaces are the following books [10, 14].

If \mathcal{E} is a norm-closed invariant subspace of $B^{p/2}$, then \mathcal{E}^\perp is an S -invariant subspace of $O_{p/2}$ and hence a weak- $*$ closed ideal which, as before (see also [4, Thm. 3.2]), is of the form \mathcal{I}_g (the weak- $*$ closed ideal generated by g) for some $g \in A^\infty$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, which is applicable here since $B^{p/2}$ is a Banach space, we have $\mathcal{E} = {}^\perp\mathcal{I}_g$. One can prove [4] that $f \in B^{p/2}$ belongs to ${}^\perp\mathcal{I}_g$ if and only if (i) $fg \in H^1$; (ii) f/ϕ_g (where ϕ_g is the inner part of g) has a pseudocontinuation $\widetilde{f/\phi_g} \in N^+(\mathbb{D}_e)$ ¹¹ which vanishes at infinity. Combining this with (6.1) we have the following result.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $0 < p < 1$ and \mathcal{E} be a non-trivial weakly closed invariant subspace of L_a^p . Then there is a $g \in A^\infty$ such that \mathcal{E} is the set of $f \in L_a^p$ such that*

1. $fg \in H^1$.
2. f/ϕ_g has a pseudocontinuation $\widetilde{f/\phi_g} \in N^+(\mathbb{D}_e)$ which vanishes at infinity.

Certainly if \mathcal{E} is a weakly closed invariant subspace of L_a^p , then \mathcal{E} is closed in the metric of L_a^p . Is every closed invariant subspace weakly closed? In H^p , this is not the case (see Corollary 5.12). Though we do not have a proof, we conjecture that every closed invariant subspace of L_a^p is indeed weakly closed. In H^p , the space $\mathcal{E} = \bigvee\{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{-1} : 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$, where \bigvee is the closed linear span in the metric topology of H^p , is a proper closed invariant subspace that is weakly dense. This same example, with the linear span in H^p replaced by the closed linear span in the metric topology of L_a^p , is certainly weakly dense. However, since $L_a^1 \subset L_a^p$ with continuous inclusion, and since the linear span of $\{(1 - e^{-i\theta}z)^{-1} : 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\}$ is norm dense in L_a^1 , we see that \mathcal{E} is dense in the metric topology of L_a^p . We end with the following open question.

Question 6.3. For $0 < p < 1$, what are the closed (in the metric topology) invariant subspaces of L_a^p ?

References

1. A. B. Aleksandrov, *Invariant subspaces of the backward shift operator in the space H^p ($p \in (0, 1)$)*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) **92** (1979), 7–29, 318, Investigations on linear operators and the theory of functions, IX. MR **81h**:46018
2. ———, *Gap series and pseudocontinuations. An arithmetic approach*, Algebra i Analiz **9** (1997), no. 1, 3–31. MR **98d**:30006
3. A. Aleman, S. Richter, and W. T. Ross, *Pseudocontinuations and the backward shift*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **47** (1998), no. 1, 223–276. MR **2000i**:47009
4. A. Aleman and W. T. Ross, *The backward shift on weighted Bergman spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **43** (1996), no. 2, 291–319. MR **97i**:47053
5. F. Bagemihl and W. Seidel, *Some boundary properties of analytic functions*, Math. Z. **61** (1954), 186–199. MR 16,460d

¹¹ $N^+(\mathbb{D}_e)$ is the Smirnov class (see [11, p. 25] for a definition).

6. J. A. Cima and W. T. Ross, *The backward shift on the Hardy space*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. MR **2002f**:47068
7. M. M. Day, *The spaces L^p with $0 < p < 1$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **46** (1940), 816–823. MR 2,102b
8. R. G. Douglas, H. S. Shapiro, and A. L. Shields, *Cyclic vectors and invariant subspaces for the backward shift operator.*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **20** (1970), no. fasc. 1, 37–76. MR 42 #5088
9. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. I. General Theory*, With the assistance of W. G. Bade and R. G. Bartle. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 7, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1958. MR 22 #8302
10. P. Duren and A. Schuster, *Bergman spaces*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
11. P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970. MR 42 #3552
12. P. L. Duren, B. W. Romberg, and A. L. Shields, *Linear functionals on H^p spaces with $0 < p < 1$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **238** (1969), 32–60. MR 41 #4217
13. J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1981. MR **83g**:30037
14. H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 199, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. MR **2001c**:46043
15. S. V. Hruščev, *Every ideal of the ring C_A^n is principal*, Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI) **65** (1976), 149–160, 206, Investigations on linear operators and the theory of functions, VII. MR 58 #30282
16. P. Koosis, *Introduction to H_p spaces*, second ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR **2000b**:30052
17. B. I. Kornblum, *Closed ideals of the ring A^n* , Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **6** (1972), no. 3, 38–52. MR 48 #2776
18. A. Matheson, *Closed ideals in rings of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Banach spaces of analytic functions (Proc. Pelczynski Conf., Kent State Univ., Kent, Ohio, 1976), Springer, Berlin, 1977, pp. 67–72. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 604. MR 57 #3864
19. ———, *Cyclic vectors for invariant subspaces in some classes of analytic functions*, Illinois J. Math. **36** (1992), no. 1, 136–144. MR **93e**:30074
20. N. K. Nikol'skiĭ, *Treatise on the shift operator*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR **87i**:47042
21. T. Pedersen, *Ideals in big Lipschitz algebras of analytic functions*, to appear, Studia Math.
22. S. Richter and C. Sundberg, *Invariant subspaces of the Dirichlet shift and pseudo-continuations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **341** (1994), no. 2, 863–879. MR **94d**:47026
23. W. T. Ross and H. S. Shapiro, *Generalized analytic continuation*, University Lecture Series, vol. 25, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR **2003h**:30003
24. W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, second ed., McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1991. MR **92k**:46001

25. F. A. Shamoyan, *Closed ideals in algebras of functions that are analytic in the disk and smooth up to its boundary*, Mat. Sb. **184** (1993), no. 8, 109–136. MR **94m**:46087
26. H. S. Shapiro, *Generalized analytic continuation*, Symposia on Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Vol. 8 (Symposium, Madras, 1967), Plenum, New York, 1968, pp. 151–163. MR 39 #2953
27. ———, *Functions nowhere continuable in a generalized sense*, Publ. Ramanujan Inst. No. 1 (1968/1969), 179–182. MR 42 #1982
28. J. Shapiro, *Linear functionals on non-locally convex spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan, 1969.
29. ———, *Mackey topologies, reproducing kernels, and diagonal maps on the Hardy and Bergman spaces*, Duke Math. J. **43** (1976), no. 1, 187–202. MR 58 #17806
30. D. Stegenga, *Bounded Toeplitz operators on H^1 and applications of the duality between H^1 and the functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), no. 3, 573–589. MR 54 #8340
31. B. A. Taylor and D. L. Williams, *Ideals in rings of analytic functions with smooth boundary values*, Canad. J. Math. **22** (1970), 1266–1283. MR 42 #7905
32. ———, *Zeros of Lipschitz functions analytic in the unit disc*, Michigan Math. J. **18** (1971), 129–139. MR 44 #409
33. I. N. Vekua, *Generalized analytic functions*, Pergamon Press, London, 1962. MR 27 #321

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Richmond, Richmond, Virginia 23173

E-mail address: wross@richmond.edu