ABSTRACT: Human endothelin-1 (ET-1) is a potent cardiovascular bioactive peptide. Its activity is based on the C-terminal residues, e.g., Trp 21 in particular. Recently, we reported an NMR solution structure of ET-1, which has a C-terminal hydrophobic core around Tyr 13. This C-terminal conformation does not agree with a previously reported X-ray crystal structure. To clarify the discrepancy, we performed photo-CIDNP NMR in combination with MALDI-TOF MS. The photo-CIDNP results revealed that the Tyr 13 aromatic ring is concealed in a hydrophobic interaction. MALDI-TOF MS experiments showed this is an intramolecular interaction in monomeric form, which is also supported by sedimentation analysis and two-dimensional NMR cross-peak line shapes. Thus, we confirmed the intramolecular hydrophobic core around Tyr 13 in aqueous solution, which agrees with the solution structure. The C-terminal conformational discrepancy between the solution and crystal was caused by the intermolecular hydrogen bond between Tyr 13 of one molecule and Asp 8 of the other in a dimer-like formation of crystalline ET-1. On the other hand, we indicated that endothelin-3, another isoform of the endothelin, has an apparent self-association equilibrium under the same condition in which three tyrosines participate.

Trp 21, which is located apart from the other aromatic residues, such as Tyr 13 and Phe 14, in the crystal structure. However, all of the ET-1 receptor antagonists developed previously have close assembling of their aromatic rings (12, 13). Does the ET-1 crystal structure really represent the active conformation as expected? Our results reported here provide the answer.

Very recently, we reported a refined solution structure of ET-1 (14) using a new procedure for the NMR data-based molecular dynamics calculations, and deposited the structure in the Protein Data Bank as entry 1v6r. This procedure uses a distributed computing technique (15) to increase the number of initial structures, up to tens of thousands, to increase conformational sampling. Like the previous NMR and X-ray structures (6–10), the refined structure has an α-helix and extended β-strand, which are stabilized by two disulfide bonds in the N-terminus. The unique folding, termed a cystine-stabilized α-helix motif (CSH motif), was originally discovered in ET-1 (7) and was investigated for a series of peptides in various species (16–20). Because of the CSH motif, the previous studies were in agreement about the N-terminal structure. However, because of this, they have dispersed C-terminal structures (14).

The refined structure (14) has a well-defined folding in the C-terminal part, as well as the CSH motif region. The C-terminal part has an extended β-strand and is loosely looped back to the α-helix by a turn in the junction, forming
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Photo-CIDNP NMR Experiments.** All photo-CIDNP NMR measurements were carried out on a Bruker AMX 600 MHz spectrometer with irradiation by an argon ion laser (NEC-GLG3460) at a wavelength of 488 nm. ET-1 and endothelin-3 (ET-3) were purchased from Peptide Institute Inc. (Osaka, Japan). ET-1 C-terminal residues 11–21 [ET-1(11–21)] was synthesized by a solid-phase procedure on an Applied Biosystems model 431A automated peptide synthesizer on 0.5 mmol of Boc-Trp(CHO)-phenylacetoamidomethyl resin, and was elongated by Boc-amino acid HOBr esters with N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide. The peptides were dissolved in H 2 O with D 2 O (9:1, v/v), at 297.7 K, with 0.1 mM lumiflavin (luF), and were subjected to the experiments. The pH was adjusted with DCI and NaOD. The laser irradiation time before every acquisition (16 scans) was 500 ms. The photo-CIDNP signals have positive peak enhancement (for tryptophan aromatic ring protons) and negative peak enhancement (for tyrosine ring protons of the C position). The photoexcited luF reacts reversibly with the residues to generate a luF–ET-1 radical pair. The back reaction of the radical pair yields nuclear spin polarization. However, the radical reaction is not completely reversible, as mentioned later, resulting in a decrease in the NMR signal intensities. Thus, 16 scans is a limitation of the irradiation for obtaining a good signal-to-noise ratio.

The ET samples suffered from 64 scans of CIDNP measurements (i.e., irradiation for a total of 32 s), which had a remarkable loss of the CIDNP signals, and were subjected to the MALDI-TOF MS experiment to check the molecular weight of the byproducts of the photoinduced radical reaction.

**MALDI-TOF MS Experiments.** MALDI-TOF MS spectra were measured on a LD1700-MALDI-TOF MS system (Linear Scientific Inc.), with a −4.8 kV detector and a 3.9 mVb digitizer. The matrix was sinapic acid. Laser energies were 2.34, 2.65, and 14.53 μJ for ET-1 without luF, ET-1 after laser irradiation with luF, and ET-3 after laser irradiation with luF, respectively. All preliminary laser irradiations were carried out on the same condition with photo-CIDNP experiments with a sample concentration of 0.16 mM.

**NOESY Experiments.** All NOESY measurements were carried out on a Bruker AMX 600 MHz spectrometer. ET-1 and ET-3 were dissolved in H 2 O with D 2 O (9:1, v/v), with 5% deuterated acetic acid, at 297.7 K and pH 3.0. The mixing time of NOESY runs was 350 ms.

RESULTS

At first, we performed photo-CIDNP NMR experiments to investigate the hydrophobic core around Tyr 13. The photo-CIDNP is a difference spectroscopic method that enhances the NMR signals of specific aromatic amino acid residues (tyrosine, tryptophan, and histidine) using a lumiflavin for the conformational probe (21). Since the lumiflavin can only interact with residues that are exposed to solvent, this technique can examine the folding of peptides. If ET-1 really has the hydrophobic core (Figure 1a,b), the photo-CIDNP signal intensities of Tyr 13 can be significantly reduced. Conversely, in the crystal structure, the Tyr 13 side chain is involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonds (6) (Figure 1d,e). If ET-1 has a monomeric form and the same a hydrophobic core around the Tyr 13 side chain (Figure 1a,b). This is not consistent with the crystal structure (Figure 1d,e) but in good agreement with parameters obtained in NMR experiments as J coupling and sequential NOEs (10, 14). Here, the hydrophobic core, which is usually found in globular proteins, is confirmed by another NMR technique, photochemically induced dynamic nuclear polarization (photo-CIDNP), accompanied by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). We present here a new reference ET-1 structure and C-terminal folding, which should shed new light on ET receptor antagonist drug design.
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**Figure 1:** Structural comparison of solution and crystalline ET-1 and the hydrophobic core around Tyr 13 in solution. (a) Minimum energy structure in solution with the α-carbon ribbon (PDB entry 1V6R) (14). The regular secondary structures derived from the Ramachandran plot are represented by the color of the ribbon in green and red for β-turn and α-helix, respectively. The region from residue 11 to 15 has α-helix because of the CSH motif. (b) Peptide surface plot of the structure in panel a. The Tyr 13 aromatic ring is buried in the peptide folding, which in the hydrophobic core around the Tyr 13 side chain is involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonds (Figure 1d,e). If ET-1 has a monomeric form and the same...
structure as the crystal under physiological conditions, we will observe a strong Tyr 13 photo-CIDNP signal. Figure 2 shows photo-CIDNP spectra of ET-1 at a concentration of 0.16 mM. Despite clear Trp 21 signals enhanced by the irradiation, Tyr 13 CIDNP signal enhancements are very weak, as we expected. The Trp 21 signal enhancements agree with the solvent accessibility of the C-terminus (Figure 1a). Figure 2b shows a control experiment of C-terminal fragment ET-1(11–21), indicating clear photo-CIDNP signals for both tyrosine and tryptophan, which correspond to residues 13 and 21 in the ET-1 sequence, respectively. Because the fragment was not soluble at a lower pH, it was measured at pH 7.5, whereas ET-1 was not soluble at pH > 4. However, there is no pH dependency for the free state of tyrosine CIDNP signals in this pH range, and thus, the strong suppression of Tyr 13 CIDNP signals in ET-1 indicates solvent inaccessibility for the side chain.

The ET-1 CIDNP signals were considerably attenuated at a higher concentration (0.64 mM) as shown in Figure 2c, and were recovered by adding urea (Figure 2d). This suggests that the existence of reversible peptide association originated in intermolecular hydrogen bonds. However, the apparent molecular weight determined by sedimentation analysis (MW 2500) indicates that the large majority of ET-1 molecules are in a monomer state at concentrations of <5 mM. The NMR peak line shapes (see Figure 4a) also support the monomeric form of ET-1 up to 2.5 mM. Thus, the amount of peptide in the association could be too small to be detected by the sedimentation analysis and one-dimensional (1D) NMR under the solution conditions. With a much higher concentration, the association by a hydrogen bond might be observable as in the ET-1 crystal structure. On the other hand, at 0.16 mM ET-1, adding urea (up to 6 M) has no impact on the CIDNP spectra or 1D NMR spectra, which resulted in the same spectra as Figure 2. Therefore, the solvent inaccessibility of the Tyr 13 side chain can be caused by the hydrophobic core, not the hydrogen bond.

Next, to distinguish an intramolecular hydrophobic core from the intermolecular interactions, we combined the measurements with MALDI-TOF MS for the preliminary laser-irradiated ET-1/lumiflavin solution. The photo-CIDNP radical reaction is not completely reversible and produces a significant amount of byproduct molecules. In the case of an associated molecule, the irreversible radical reaction can cause covalently bound peptides as byproducts, because of the rapid reaction compared with the molecular dissociation constant of the peptides. The results shown in Figure 3 demonstrate that the byproducts of ET-1 attacked by excited lumiflavin mainly originated from the monomer state (Figure 3b). The associated MS signals are just within the artifact level, e.g., ionization matrix-bound peptides. Therefore, it is confirmed that in ET-1 Tyr 13 is concealed by the intramolecular hydrophobic core around the residue as indicated by the three-dimensional structure.

The same experiments were performed for ET-3, another isoform of the endothelin family, which has the same C-terminal residues as ET-1 and receptor selectivity clearly...
different from that of ET-1 (22, 23). The photo-CIDNP experiment with ET-3 resulted in spectra that are almost the same as those of ET-1 (Figure 2e). Thr and Ser also have intraresidual cross-peaks in this region. (a) ET-1 concentration of 2.5 mM. The main chain amide proton assignments are indicated by sequence numbers on the 1D spectrum. Asp 8, Cys 11, Tyr 13, and Phe 14 intraresidual cross-peaks are labeled on the NOESY spectrum with their one-letter code. (b) ET-3 concentration of 2.5 mM. Tyr 6, Cys 11, Tyr 13, and Tyr 14 intraresidual cross-peaks are labeled with their one-letter code. The line broadening is caused by chemical exchange in the self-association equilibrium, and the broadening degrees reflect chemical shift differences in the exchange. (c) The amino acid sequences of ET-1 and ET-3 are given in the one-letter code. The residues of those that have strong line broadening in panel b are underlined.

Figure 5: Structural comparison between solution (14) and crystalline (6) ET-1. (a) Averaged backbone rmsd values of the NMR structures overlaying all residues (1–21) on the crystal structure are elucidated for all residues and plotted against them. (b) Backbone dihedral angle difference between the solution (minimum energy structure) and crystalline ET-1. Absolute values of the differences are plotted against residue number for φ (■) and ψ (○) angles. The remarkably large differences for φ angles of Asp 8 and Tyr 13 are due to the intermolecular hydrogen bond between residues of one molecule and the other in the crystalline dimer.

DISCUSSION

Now it is clear that ET-1 has an intramolecular hydrophobic core under physiological conditions, and has a different folding in the crystalline form. The structural comparison between the solution and crystalline ET-1 shows a large root-mean-square deviation (rmsd) value for backbone atoms overlaid for all residues, i.e., 4.4 Å. The large rmsd value is caused by structural differences of residues from 9 to 21 (Figure 5a). The N-terminal region of residues 1–8 overlaid for this region is similar for the two structures (rmsd
It is clear that an intermolecular hydrogen bond between Tyr 13 OH and Asp 8 carbonyl oxygen in the crystal structure (6) causes the large difference (Figure 5b), and strongly influences the C-terminal folding, which originates from Tyr 13.

In the report of the crystal structure (6), the authors discussed that the intermolecular hydrogen bond can be ignored, because it is not in the C-terminal region. They also mentioned that residues 8 and 13 are in the N-terminal region and have a folding similar to those of previously published NMR structures. In fact, the region from residue 1 to 15 has a relatively small rmsd (2.0 Å) in the two structures, because of the many antagonist molecules, and can explain broad charge location agree well with the antagonist structures. In addition, any of the intermolecular interactions should not be ignored in such a small peptide. The energy term of the hydrogen bond is much larger than that of a hydrophobic interaction, and is very decisive for the peptide folding.

In the solution structure we have reported (14), the peptide surface of Tyr 13 is surrounded by hydrophobic residues Lys 9, Glu 10, and Asp 18, and the Trp 21 ring is close to the surface of Tyr 13. The structure can sufficiently be matched to the positive and negative charge, as well as the Phe 14 ring (Figure 1b,c). The structure can strongly influence the C-terminal folding, which originates from Tyr 13.

The crystal structure, the residues are localized on the edge of the dimerization hydrophobic interface (Figure 1e,f). We believe that the solution structure derived from NMR as well as the structural features of ET-1 and ET-3 will provide a new horizon for the ET receptor antagonist design.
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