Hunting for Quarks

Jerry Gilfoyle for the CLAS Collaboration
University of Richmond

"The Periodic Table"

- Jefferson Lab's Mission
- What we know.
- What we don't know.
- What we measure.
- Experiments with CLAS12
- Concluding Remarks

What is the Mission of Jefferson Lab?

- Basic research into the quark nature of the atomic nucleus.
- Probe the quark-gluon structure of hadronic matter and how it evolves within nuclei.
- Test the theory of the color force Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) and the nature of quark confinement.
- Completed doubling of beam energy and upgraded detectors in 2016.



What is the Mission of Jefferson Lab?

- Basic research into the quark nature of the atomic nucleus.
- Probe the quark-gluon structure of hadronic matter and how it evolves within nuclei.
- Test the theory of the color force Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) and the nature of quark confinement.
- Completed doubling of beam energy and upgraded detectors in 2016.



Solving QCD one of the seven Millenium Prize Problems from the Clay Mathematics Institute.

What Do We Know?

- The Universe is made of quarks and leptons and the force carriers.



BOSONS force carriers spin = 0, 1, 2, …

Unified Electroweak spin = 1

Name	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
γ photon	0	0
W^-	80.39	–1
W^+ W bosons	80.39	+1
Z^0 Z boson	91.188	0

Strong (color) spin = 1

Name	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
g gluon	0	0

Higgs Boson spin = 0

Name	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
H Higgs	126	0



FERMIONS matter constituents spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, …

Leptons spin =1/2

Flavor	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
ν_L lightest neutrino*	$(0-2)\times10^{-9}$	0
e electron	0.000511	–1
ν_M middle neutrino*	$(0.009-2)\times10^{-9}$	0
μ muon	0.106	–1
ν_H heaviest neutrino*	$(0.05-2)\times10^{-9}$	0
τ tau	1.777	–1

Quarks spin =1/2

Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
u up	0.002	2/3
d down	0.005	–1/3
c charm	1.3	2/3
s strange	0.1	–1/3
t top	173	2/3
b bottom	4.2	–1/3

- The atomic nucleus is made of protons and neutrons bound by the strong force.
- The quarks are confined inside the protons and neutrons.
- Protons and neutrons are NOT confined.



At the center of the atom is a nucleus formed from **nucleons**–protons and neutrons. Each nucleon is made from three **quarks** held together by their strong interactions, which are mediated by **gluons**. In turn, the nucleus is held together by the **strong** interactions between the gluon and quark constituents of neighboring nucleons. Nuclear physicists often use the exchange of mesons–particles which consist of a quark and an antiquark, such as the **pion**–to describe interactions among the nucleons.

In an atom, **electrons** range around the nucle at distances typically up to 10,000 times the nuclear diameter. If the electron were shown to scale, this

What Do We Know?

- The Universe is made of quarks and leptons and the force carriers.



BOSONS force carriers
spin = 0, 1, 2, ...

Unified Electroweak spin = 1

Name	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
γ photon	0	0
W⁻	80.39	–1
W⁺ W bosons	80.39	+1
Z⁰ Z boson	91.188	0

Strong (color) spin = 1

Name	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
g gluon	0	0

Higgs Boson spin = 0

Name	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
H Higgs	126	0



FERMIONS matter constituents
spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...

Leptons spin =1/2

Flavor	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
ν_L lightest neutrino*	$(0-2)\times10^{-9}$	0
e electron	0.000511	–1
ν_M middle neutrino*	$(0.009-2)\times10^{-9}$	0
μ muon	0.106	–1
ν_H		
τ		

Quarks spin =1/2

Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
u up	0.002	2/3
d down	0.005	–1/3
c charm	1.3	2/3
s strange	0.1	–1/3



- The atomic nucleus is made of protons and neutrons bound by the strong force.
- The quarks are confined inside the protons and neutrons.
- Protons and neutrons are NOT confined.



What is the Force?

- Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) looks like the right way to get the force at high energy.



What is the Force?

- Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) looks like the right way to get the force at high energy.



What is the Force?

- Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) looks like the right way to get the force at high energy.



- The hadronic model uses a phenomenological force fitted to data at low energy. This 'strong' force is the residual force between quarks.



What is the Force?

- Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) looks like the right way to get the force at h

- The hadr nomenolo at low ene the residu







What is the Force?

- Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) looks like the right way to get the force at h



- The hadr nomenolo at low ene the residu

How Well Do We Know It?

- We have a working theory of strong interactions: quantum chromodynamics or QCD (B.Abbott, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **86**, 1707 (2001)).



- The coherent hadronic model (the standard model of nuclear physics) works too (L.C.Alexa, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **82**, 1374 (1999)).



How Well Do We Know It?

- We have a working theory of strong interactions: quantum chromodynamics or QCD (B.Abbott, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **86**, 1707 (2001)).



effective target area

- The coherent hadronic model (the standard model of nuclear physics) works too (L.C.Alexa, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **82**, 1374 (1999)).



4-momentum transfer squared

What Don't We Know?

- Matter comes in pairs of quarks or triplets.
- We are mostly triplets (protons and neutrons).
- More than 99% of our mass is in nucleons.
- Proton → 2 ups + 1 down.
- Neutron → 1 up + 2 downs.



FERMIONS matter constituents
spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...

Leptons spin =1/2			**Quarks** spin =1/2		
Flavor	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge	Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
ν_L lightest neutrino*	$(0-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**u** up	0.002	2/3
e electron	0.000511	−1	**d** down	0.005	−1/3
ν_M middle neutrino*	$(0.009-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**c** charm	1.3	2/3
μ muon	0.106	−1	**s** strange	0.1	−1/3
ν_H heaviest neutrino*	$(0.05-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**t** top	173	2/3
τ tau	1.777	−1	**b** bottom	4.2	−1/3

What Don’t We Know?

- Matter comes in pairs of quarks or triplets.
- We are mostly triplets (protons and neutrons).
- More than 99% of our mass is in nucleons.
- Proton → 2 ups + 1 down.
- Neutron → 1 up + 2 downs.
- A quiz: How much does the proton weigh?



FERMIONS matter constituents spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...

Leptons spin =1/2			**Quarks** spin =1/2		
Flavor	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge	Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
ν_L lightest neutrino*	$(0-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**u** up	0.002	2/3
e electron	0.000511	–1	**d** down	0.005	–1/3
ν_M middle neutrino*	$(0.009-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**c** charm	1.3	2/3
μ muon	0.106	–1	**s** strange	0.1	–1/3
ν_H heaviest neutrino*	$(0.05-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**t** top	173	2/3
τ tau	1.777	–1	**b** bottom	4.2	–1/3

What Don't We Know?

- Matter comes in pairs of quarks or triplets.
- We are mostly triplets (protons and neutrons).
- More than 99% of our mass is in nucleons.
- Proton → 2 ups + 1 down.
- Neutron → 1 up + 2 downs.



FERMIONS matter constituents spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...

Leptons spin =1/2			**Quarks** spin =1/2		
Flavor	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge	Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
ν_L lightest neutrino*	$(0-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**u** up	0.002	2/3
e electron	0.000511	−1	**d** down	0.005	−1/3
ν_M middle neutrino*	$(0.009-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**c** charm	1.3	2/3
μ muon	0.106	−1	**s** strange	0.1	−1/3
ν_H heaviest neutrino*	$(0.05-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**t** top	173	2/3
τ tau	1.777	−1	**b** bottom	4.2	−1/3

- A quiz: How much does the proton weigh?

$$m_p = 2m_{up} + m_{down}$$

What Don't We Know?

- Matter comes in pairs of quarks or triplets.
- We are mostly triplets (protons and neutrons).
- More than 99% of our mass is in nucleons.
- Proton → 2 ups + 1 down.
- Neutron → 1 up + 2 downs.



FERMIONS matter constituents spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...

Leptons spin =1/2 | **Quarks** spin =1/2

Flavor	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge	Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
ν_L lightest neutrino*	$(0-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**u** up	0.002	2/3
e electron	0.000511	–1	**d** down	0.005	–1/3
ν_M middle neutrino*	$(0.009-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**c** charm	1.3	2/3
μ muon	0.106	–1	**s** strange	0.1	–1/3
ν_H heaviest neutrino*	$(0.05-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**t** top	173	2/3
τ tau	1.777	–1	**b** bottom	4.2	–1/3

- A quiz: How much does the proton weigh?

$$m_p = 2m_{up} + m_{down} = 2(2\ MeV/c^2) + 5\ MeV/c^2$$

What Don’t We Know?



FERMIONS matter constituents spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...

Leptons spin =1/2			**Quarks** spin =1/2		
Flavor	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge	Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
ν_L lightest neutrino*	$(0-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**u** up	$\bigcirc$ 0.002	2/3
e electron	0.000511	−1	**d** down	$\bigcirc$ 0.005	−1/3
ν_M middle neutrino*	$(0.009-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**c** charm	1.3	2/3
μ muon	0.106	−1	**s** strange	0.1	−1/3
ν_H heaviest neutrino*	$(0.05-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**t** top	173	2/3
τ tau	1.777	−1	**b** bottom	4.2	−1/3

- Matter comes in pairs of quarks or triplets.
- We are mostly triplets (protons and neutrons).
- More than 99% of our mass is in nucleons.
- Proton → 2 ups + 1 down.
- Neutron → 1 up + 2 downs.
- A quiz: How much does the proton weigh?

$$m_p = 2m_{up} + m_{down} = 2(2\ MeV/c^2) + 5\ MeV/c^2$$

$$= 939\ MeV/c^2 \qquad \text{OOOPS!!!????}$$

What Don't We Know?

- Matter comes in pairs of quarks or triplets.
- We are mostly triplets (protons and neutrons).
- More than 99% of our mass is in nucleons.
- Proton → 2 ups + 1 down.
- Neutron → 1 up + 2 downs.



FERMIONS matter constituents spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...

Leptons spin =1/2			**Quarks** spin =1/2		
Flavor	Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge	Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c^2	Electric charge
ν_L lightest neutrino*	$(0-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**u** up	0.002	2/3
e electron	0.000511	−1	**d** down	0.005	−1/3
ν_M middle neutrino*	$(0.009-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**c** charm	1.3	2/3
μ muon	0.106	−1	**s** strange	0.1	−1/3
ν_H heaviest neutrino*	$(0.05-2)\times10^{-9}$	0	**t** top	173	2/3
τ tau	1.777	−1	**b** bottom	4.2	−1/3

- A quiz: How much does the proton weigh?

$$m_p = 2m_{up} + m_{down} = 2(2\ MeV/c^2) + 5\ MeV/c^2$$

$$= 939\ MeV/c^2 \qquad \text{OOOPS!!!????}$$

- $m_n - m_p = 1.29333205(48)\ MeV/c^2$ (exp)
  $= 1.51(16)(23)\ MeV/c^2$ (th)

Sz. Borsanyi et al. *Science* 347, 1452 (2015).

What Don't We Know?

1. We can't get QCD and the hadronic model to line up - D. Abbott, *et al.*, Phys. Rev Lett. **84**, 5053 (2000).

2. NEED TO FIGURE OUT QCD AT THE ENERGIES OF NUCLEI!!



What Do We Measure?

What Do We Measure?

The Magnetic Form Factor of the Neutron (G_M^n)

What Do We Measure?

The Magnetic Form Factor of the Neutron (G_M^n)

- Fundamental quantity related to the distribution of magnetization/currents in the neutron.
- Needed to extract the distribution of quarks in the neutron.
- Elastic form factors (G_M^n, G_E^n, G_M^p, and G_E^p) provide key constraints on theory and the structure of hadrons.
- Part of a broad effort to understand how nucleons are 'constructed from the quarks and gluons of QCD'.*

* 'The Frontiers of Nuclear Science: A Long-Range Plan', NSF/DOE Nuclear Science Advisory Committee, April, 2007.

How Do We Learn What's Inside the Nucleon?

- Nucleon elastic electromagnetic form factors (EEFFs) describe the distribution of charge and magnetization in the nucleon.
- They encode the deviations from point-particle behavior.
- Reveal the internal quark-gluon landscape of the nucleon and nuclei.
- We are in the region where the quarks get dressed.
- Rigorously test QCD in the non-perturbative regime.
- Jargon: G_E^p, G_M^p, G_E^n, G_M^n.



FIG. 5. Curve (a) shows the theoretical Mott curve for a spinless point proton. Curve (b) shows the theoretical curve for a point proton with the Dirac magnetic moment, curve (c) the theoretical curve for a point proton having the anomalous contribution in addition to the Dirac value of magnetic moment. The theoretical curves (b) and (c) are due to Rosenbluth.[8] The experimental curve falls between curves (b) and (c). This deviation from the theoretical curves represents the effect of a form factor for the proton and indicates structure within the proton, or alternatively, a breakdown of the Coulomb law. The best fit indicates a size of 0.70×10^{-13} cm.

McAllister and Hofstadter, PR 102, 851 (1956)

How Do We Learn What's Inside the Nucleon?

- Nucleon elastic electromagnetic form factors (EEFFs) describe the distribution of charge and magnetization in the nucleon.
- They encode the deviations from point-particle behavior.
- Reveal the internal quark-gluon landscape of the nucleon and nuclei.
- We are in the region where the quarks get dressed.
- Rigorously test QCD in the non-perturbative regime.
- Jargon: G_E^p, G_M^p, G_E^n, G_M^n.



the theoretical Mott curve for a spinless
hows the theoretical curve for a point
netic moment, curve (c) the theoretical
having the anomalous contribution in
e of magnetic moment. The theoretical
ue to Rosenbluth.[8] The experimental
; (b) and (c). This deviation from the
its the effect of a form factor for the
ture within the proton, or alternatively,
omb law. The best fit indicates a size

ofstadter, PR 102, 851 (1956)

Robert Hofstadter, Nobel Prize 1961

How Do We Learn What's Inside the Nucleon?

- Nucleon elastic electromagnetic form factors (EEFFs) describe the distribution of charge and magnetization in the nucleon.
- They encode the deviations from point-particle behavior.
- Reveal the internal quark-gluon landscape of the nucleon and nuclei.
- We are in the region where the quarks get dressed.
- Rigorously test QCD in the non-perturbative regime.
- Jargon: G_E^p, G_M^p, G_E^n, G_M^n.



the theoretical Mott curve for a spinless
hows the theoretical curve for a point
netic moment, curve (c) the theoretical
having the anomalous contribution in
e of magnetic moment. The theoretical
ue to Rosenbluth.[8] The experimental
; (b) and (c). This deviation from the
its the effect of a form factor for the
ture within the proton, or alternatively,
omb law. The best fit indicates a size

ofstadter, PR 102, 851 (1956)

Robert Hofstadter, Nobel Prize 1961

What is a Form Factor?

- Start with the cross section.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\text{scattered rate/solid angle}}{\text{incident rate/surface area}}$$

- For elastic scattering use the Rutherford cross section.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 Z_{beam}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16 E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)}$$



What is a Form Factor?

- Start with the cross section.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\text{scattered rate/solid angle}}{\text{incident rate/surface area}}$$

- For elastic scattering use the Rutherford cross section.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 Z_{beam}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)}$$



- Cross section for elastic scattering by point particles with spin.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 Z_{beam}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)} \left(1 - \beta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) \quad \text{(Mott cross section)}$$

What is a Form Factor?

- Start with the cross section.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\text{scattered rate/solid angle}}{\text{incident rate/surface area}}$$

- For elastic scattering use the Rutherford cross section.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 Z_{beam}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)}$$



- Cross section for elastic scattering by point particles with spin.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 Z_{beam}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)} \left(1 - \beta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) \quad \text{(Mott cross section)}$$

- What happens when the beam is electrons and the target is not a point?

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)} \left(1 - \beta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) |F(Q^2)|^2$$

where Q^2 is the 4-momentum transfer.

What is a Form Factor?

- Start with the cross section.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\text{scattered rate/solid angle}}{\text{incident rate/surface area}}$$

- For elastic scattering use the Rutherford cross section.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 Z_{beam}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)}$$



- Cross section for elastic scattering by point particles with spin.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 Z_{beam}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)} \left(1 - \beta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) \quad \text{(Mott cross section)}$$

- What happens when the beam is electrons and the target is not a point?

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{Z_{tgt}^2 \alpha^2 (\hbar c)^2}{16E^2 \sin^4(\theta/2)} \left(1 - \beta^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right) |F(Q^2)|^2$$

where Q^2 is the 4-momentum transfer.

THE FORM FACTOR!

Some Necessary Background

- EEFFs cross section described with Dirac (F_1) and Pauli (F_2) form factors

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \sigma_{Mott}\left[\left(F_1^2 + \kappa^2\tau F_2^2\right) + 2\tau\left(F_1 + \kappa F_2\right)^2 \tan^2\left(\frac{\theta_e}{2}\right)\right]$$

  where

$$\sigma_{Mott} = \frac{\alpha^2 E' \cos^2(\frac{\theta_e}{2})}{4E^3 \sin^4(\frac{\theta_e}{2})}$$

  and κ is the anomalous magnetic moment, E (E') is the incoming (outgoing) electron energy, θ is the scattered electron angle and $\tau = Q^2/4M^2$.

- For convenience use the Sachs form factors.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\sigma_{Mott}}{\epsilon(1+\tau)}\left(\epsilon G_E^2 + \tau G_M^2\right)$$

  where

$$G_E = F_1 - \tau F_2 \quad \text{and} \quad G_M = F_1 + F_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = \left[1 + 2(1+\tau)\tan^2\frac{\theta_e}{2}\right]^{-1}$$

Why Should You Care?

- The chain of reason.

  $$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \rightarrow |F(Q^2)|^2 \Leftrightarrow F(Q^2) \leftarrow \rho(\vec{r}) \leftarrow \psi(\vec{r}) \leftarrow_{\text{Constituent quarks}}^{\text{QCD,}}$$

  Experiment **Comparison** **Theory**

  The form factors are the meeting ground between theory and experiment.

- The Fourier transform of the form factors are related to the charge and current distributions within the neutron.

Why Should You Care Even More?

- The old picture of the neutron (and proton).
- What we know now - analysis of form factor data by G. Miller(Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 112001 (2007)).







Why Should You Care Even More?

- The old picture of the neutron (and proton).
- What we know now - analysis of form factor data by G. Miller(Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 112001 (2007)).





What We'll Learn - Flavor Decomposition

- With all four EEFFs we can unravel the contributions of the *u* and *d* quarks.
- Assume charge symmetry, no *s* quarks and use (Miller *et al.* Phys. Rep. **194**, 1 (1990))

$$F^u_{1(2)} = 2F^p_{1(2)} + F^n_{1(2)} \qquad F^d_{1(2)} = 2F^n_{1(2)} + F^p_{1(2)}$$

- Evidence of di-quarks? *d*-quark scattering probes the diquark.



Cates et al. PRL **106**, 252003 (2011).

What We'll Learn - Flavor Decomposition

- With all four EEFFs we can unravel the contributions of the *u* and *d* quarks.
- Assume charge symmetry, no *s* quarks and use (Miller *et al.* Phys. Rep. **194**, 1 (1990))

$$F^u_{1(2)} = 2F^p_{1(2)} + F^n_{1(2)} \qquad F^d_{1(2)} = 2F^n_{1(2)} + F^p_{1(2)}$$

- Evidence of di-quarks? *d*-quark scattering probes the diquark.



Cates et al. PRL **106**, 252003 (2011).



Cloet et al.

PRC, 90 045202 (2014)

- Agreement with Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model encouraging - no parameter fits to the EEFFs.

What We'll Learn - Flavor Decomposition

- With all four EEFFs we can unravel the contributions of the *u* and *d* quarks.
- Assume charge symmetry, no *s* quarks and use (Miller *et al.* Phys. Rep. **194**, 1 (1990))

$$F^u_{1(2)} = 2F^p_{1(2)} + F^n_{1(2)} \qquad F^d_{1(2)} = 2F^n_{1(2)} + F^p_{1(2)}$$

- Evidence of di-quarks? *d*-quark scattering probes the diquark.



Cates et al. PRL **106**, 252003 (2011).



Cloet et al.

PRC, 90 045202 (2014)

- Agreement with Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model encouraging - no parameter fits to the EEFFs.

The JLab program will double our reach in Q^2 to $\approx 8\ GeV^2$.

What We'll Learn - Dyson-Schwinger Eqs

- Equations of motion of quantum field theory.
  - Infinite set of coupled integral equations.
  - Inherently relativistic, non-perturbative, connected to QCD.
  - Deep connection to confinement, dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.
  - Infinitely many equations, gauge dependent → Choose well!



Cloët et al
PRL 111, 101803 (2013)

- Recent results (Cloët et al).
  - Model the nucleon dressed quark propagator as a quark-diquark.
  - Damp the shape of the mass function $M(p)$.



What We'll Learn - Dyson-Schwinger Eqs

- Equations of motion of quantum field theory.
  - Infinite set of coupled integral equations.
  - Inherently relativistic, non-perturbative, connected to QCD.
  - Deep connection to confinement, dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.
  - Infinitely many equations, gauge dependent → Choose well!



Cloët et al
PRL 111, 101803 (2013)

- Recent results (Cloët et al).
  - Model the nucleon dressed quark propagator as a quark-diquark.
  - Damp the shape of the mass function $M(p)$.



C.Roberts, arXiv:1509.02925
Black arrow - neutron
Red arrow - proton

What We'll Learn - Dyson-Schwinger Eqs

- Equations of motion of quantum field theory.
  - Infinite set of coupled integral equations.
  - Inherently relativistic, non-perturbative, connected to QCD.
  - Deep connection to confinement, dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.
  - Infinitely many equations, gauge dependent → Choose well!



- Recent results (Cloët et al).
  - Model the nucleon dressed quark propagator as a quark-diquark.
  - Damp the shape of the mass function $M(p)$.

Position of zero in $\mu_p G_E^p/G_M^p$ and $\mu_n G_E^n/G_M^n$ sensitive to shape of $M(p)$!



What We'll Learn - Light Front Holographic QCD

1. Based on connections between light-front dynamics, it's holographic mapping to anti-de Sitter space, and conformal quantum mechanics.
2. Recent paper by Sufian *et al.* (Phys. Rev. D95, 01411 (2017)) included calculations of the electromagnetic form factors that include higher order Fock components $|qqqq\bar{q}\rangle$.
3. Obtain good agreement with all the form factor data with only three parameters, *e.g.* $\mu_n G_E^n / G_M^n$.



What We'll Learn - Light Front Holographic QCD

1. Based on connections between light-front dynamics, it's holographic mapping to anti-de Sitter space, and conformal quantum mechanics.
2. Recent paper by Sufian *et al.* (Phys. Rev. D95, 01411 (2017)) included calculations of the electromagnetic form factors that include higher order Fock components $|qqqq\bar{q}\rangle$.
3. Obtain good agreement with all the form factor data with only three parameters, *e.g.* $\mu_n G_E^n / G_M^n$.





What We'll Learn - The Campaign

The JLab Lineup

Quantity	Method	Target	$\mathrm{Q}^2(\mathrm{GeV}^2)$	Hall	Beam Days
G_M^p *	Elastic scattering	LH_2	$7-15.5$	A	24
G_E^p/G_M^p	Polarization transfer	LH_2	$5-12$	A	45
G_M^n	$E-p/e-n$ ratio	LD_2, LH_2	$3.5-13.0$	B	30
G_M^n	$E-p/e-n$ ratio	LD_2, LH_2	$3.5-13.5$	A	25
G_E^n/G_M^n	Double polarization asymmetry	polarized $^3\mathrm{He}$	$5-8$	A	50
G_E^n/G_M^n	Polarization transfer	LD_2	$4-7$	C	50
G_E^n/G_M^n	Polarization transfer	LD_2	4.5	A	5

* Data collection is complete.

PAC approval for 229 days of running in the first five years.

How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 1)

- Start at your local mile-long, high-precision, 12-GeV electron accelerator.
- The Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) produces beams of unrivaled quality.
- Electrons do up to five laps, are extracted, and sent to one of three experimental halls.
- All four halls can run simultaneously.



How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 1)



- Start at your local mile-long, high-precision, 12-GeV electron accelerator.
- The Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) produces beams of unrivaled quality.
- Electrons do up to five laps, are extracted, and sent to one of three experimental halls.
- All four halls can run simultaneously.

How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 1)

- Start at your local mile-long, high-precision, 12-GeV electron accelerator.
- The Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) produces beams of unrivaled quality.
- Electrons do up to five laps, are extracted, and sent to one of three experimental halls.
- All four halls can run simultaneously.





How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 1)

- Start at your local mile-long, high-precision, 12-GeV electron accelerator.
- The Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) produces beams of unrivaled quality.
- Electrons do up to five laps, are extracted, and sent to one of three experimental halls.
- All four halls can run simultaneously.





How Does CEBAF Do That?

Accelerate your electrons to high energy.





Cavity

What happens inside the cavity? Feed it with oscillating, radio-frequency power at 1.5 GHz! In each hall beam buckets are about 2 picoseconds long and arrive every 2 nanoseconds.



A.

→



B.

→



C.

How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 2)

- Add one 45-ton, $80-million radiation detector: the CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS12).
- CLAS covers a large fraction of the total solid angle at forward angles.
- Has about 62,000 detecting elements in about 40 layers.



How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 2)

- Add one 45-ton, $80-million radiation detector: the CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS12).





How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 2)

- Add one 45-ton, $80-million radiation detector: the CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS12).



How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 2a)

- Drift chambers map the trajectories. A toroidal magnetic field bends the particles to measure momentum.
- Other layers measure energy, time-of-flight, and particle identification.
- Each collision is reconstructed and the intensity pattern reveals the forces and structure of the colliding particles.
- Scatter electrons off protons and deuterons (proton+neutron).



A CLAS12 Event



A CLAS12 Event - Summary



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Drift Chamber close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Drift Chamber close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Drift Chamber close-up



A Real CLAS12 Event - Building the Drift Chambers



A Real CLAS12 Event - Building the Drift Chambers



A Real CLAS12 Event - Building the Drift Chambers



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Calorimeter close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Calorimeter close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Calorimeter close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Calorimeter close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Time-of-Flight close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Time-of-Flight close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Time-of-Flight close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Summary



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Cherenkov close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Cherenkov close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Cherenkov close-up



A Simulated CLAS12 Event - Cherenkov close-up



Simulated CLAS12 Events

Forward Detector



Central Detector

Simulated CLAS12 Events

Forward Detector

Central Detector





Simulated CLAS12 Events - Silicon Vertex Tracker (SVT)



Simulated CLAS12 Events - Silicon Vertex Tracker (SVT)





Simulated CLAS12 Events - Silicon Vertex Tracker (SVT)





Simulated CLAS12 Events - Silicon Vertex Tracker (SVT)



Putting It All Together - 1



Putting It All Together - 2



Putting It All Together - 2



Putting It All Together - 3

Putting It All Together - 3


6/24/2017

How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 3)

- Where's my target?

  Use a dual target cell with liquid hydrogen and deuterium.

- How bad do the protons mess things up? They help!

Omair's Target



$$R = \frac{\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(D(e,e'n))}{\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(D(e,e'p))} = a(Q^2)\frac{\frac{G_E^{n2}+\tau G_M^{n\,2}}{1+\tau} + 2\tau G_M^{n\,2}\tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})}{\frac{G_E^{p2}+\tau G_M^{p\,2}}{1+\tau} + 2\tau G_M^{p\,2}\tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})}$$

- The ratio is less vulnerable to corrections like acceptance, efficiencies, *etc*.
- Use the dual target to perform *in situ* detector calibrations.

How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 4)

- Quasi-elastic event selection: Apply a maximum θ_{pq} cut to eliminate inelastic events plus a cut on W^2 (J.Lachniet thesis).



- Use the $ep \to e'\pi^+ n$ reaction from the hydrogen target as a source of tagged neutrons in the TOF and calorimeter.



How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 5)

Analyzing the data - CLAS12 computing requirements.

	Cores	Disk(TBytes)	Tape (TByte/year)
DAQ			1,270
Calibration	173		
Reconstruction	1,387	508	5,080
Simulation	8,139	318	1,558
Reconstruction Studies	1,214	508	
Physics Analysis	607	889	
Sum	11,520	2,223	7,938

We'll collect 5-10 TByte/day!

Intel Many-Integrated CoProcessor computer

Anticipated Results



Nuclear Structure - Flavor Decomposition

- By measuring all four EEFFs we have an opportunity to unravel the contributions of the *u* and *d* quarks.
- Assume charge symmetry, no *s* quarks and use (Miller *et al.* Phys. Rep. **194**, 1 (1990))

$$F^u_{1(2)} = 2F^p_{1(2)} + F^n_{1(2)}$$

$$F^d_{1(2)} = 2F^n_{1(2)} + F^p_{1(2)}$$

- *u* and *d* are different.
- AND different from the proton and neutron form factors.
- Evidence of di-quarks, *s* quark influence, ...?



Gordon Cates, Sean Riordan *et al.*, PRL **106**, 252003 (2011).

Concluding Remarks

- JLab is a laboratory to test and expand our understanding of quark and nuclear matter, QCD, and the Standard Model.
- We continue the quest to unravel the nature of matter at greater and greater depths.
- Lots of new and exciting results are coming out.
- A bright future lies ahead in the 12 GeV Era.

U. S. Department of Energy's

Jefferson Lab

THOMAS JEFFERSON NATIONAL ACCELERATOR FACILITY

Additional Slides

What’s going on now?

Alignment and commissioning of the silicon vertex tracker (SVT).





Check alignment with Type1 cosmic ray tracks



Type 1 tracks.



Check alignment with Type1 cosmic ray tracks



Type 1 tracks.





Life on the Frontiers of Knowledge



West Beam Arc

Students from Richmond (and one from Surrey) visit JLab

CLAS12 detector



Life on the Frontiers of Knowledge







Life on the Frontiers of Knowledge



Some Facts of Life On The Frontier

- Work at Jefferson Lab in Newport News.
  - 700 physicists, engineers, technicians, and staff.
  - Vibrant intellectual environment - talks, visitors, educational programs...
  - Lots going on.
- Richmond group part of CLAS Collaboration.
  - operates CLAS12.
  - $\sim$190 physicists, 40 institutions, 13 countries.
  - Part of Software Group - emphasis on software development.
  - Past Surrey masters students (and Richmond undergrads) have presented posters at meetings, appeared on JLab publications,....
- Run-Group B consists of seven experiments (including G_M^n) and ran in spring 2019.



How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 2)

- Add one 45-ton, $50-million radiation detector: the CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS).
- CLAS covers a large fraction of the total solid angle.
- Has about 35,000 detecting elements in about 40 layers.



How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 2a)

- Drift chambers map the trajectories. A toroidal magnetic field bends the particles to measure momentum.
- Other layers measure energy, time-of-flight, and particle identification.
- Each collision is reconstructed and the intensity pattern reveals the forces and structure of the colliding particles.



A CLAS Event


Electromagnetic
Calorimeters
Cerenkov Counters
Drift
Chambers
Scintillators

How Do We Measure G_M^n on a Neutron? (Step 3)

- Where's my target?

  Use a dual target cell with liquid hydrogen and deuterium.

- How bad do the protons mess things up? They help!

$$R = \frac{\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(D(e,e'n))}{\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(D(e,e'p))} = a(Q^2)\frac{\frac{G_E^{n2}+\tau G_M^{n\,2}}{1+\tau} + 2\tau G_M^{n\,2}\tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})}{\frac{G_E^{p2}+\tau G_M^{p\,2}}{1+\tau} + 2\tau G_M^{p\,2}\tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})}$$

- The ratio is less vulnerable to corrections like acceptance, efficiencies, *etc*.
- Use the dual target to perform *in situ* detector calibrations.

Results - Overlaps and Final Average

Overlapping measurements of G_M^n scaled by the dipole are consistent.



Results - Comparison with Existing Data



Results - Comparison with Existing Data



JLab 12 GeV Upgrade - Better Accelerator

- The electron beam energy at JLab (CEBAF) has been doubled from 6 GeV to 12 GeV.
- Halls A, B and C will be upgraded to accommodate the new physics opportunities.
- A new hall (Hall D) will house a large-acceptance detector built around a solenoidal magnet for photon beam experiments.





JLab 12 GeV Upgrade - New Detectors





Rutherford Trajectories



What is an Angle?

What is an Angle?



$$d\theta = \frac{ds}{|\vec{r}|}$$

Solid Angle



Solid Angle



Solid Angle



Solid Angle



Solid Angle


1.0
0.5
z
0.0
−0.5
−0.5
0.0
y
0.5
1.0
−0.5
0.0
x
0.5
1.0
θ
θ
θ+dθ
θ+dθ
ϕ
ϕ+dϕ

Solid Angle



Solid Angle



$$dA = rd\theta \times r\sin\theta d\phi = r^2 \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$$

Solid Angle



$$dA = r d\theta \times r \sin\theta d\phi = r^2 \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$$

$$d\Omega = \frac{dA}{r^2} = \sin\theta d\theta d\phi$$

Rutherford Scattering Results From Rutherford



2016-12-18 10:38:14

Rutherford Scattering Results From Rutherford



H.Geiger and E.Marsden, Phil. Mag., 25, p. 604 (1913)
alphas on gold

Huffman *et al.*, PRC 22, 1522 (1980)

2016-12-18 10:38:14

Recent Rutherford Scattering Results



Recent Rutherford Scattering Results