The Greedy Method
Application: Web Auctions

- Suppose you are designing a new online auction website that is intended to process bids for multi-lot auctions.
- This website should be able to handle a single auction for 100 units of the same digital camera or 500 units of the same smartphone, where bids are of the form, “x units for $y,” meaning that the bidder wants a quantity of x of the items being sold and is willing to pay $y for all x of them.
- The challenge for your website is that it must allow for a large number of bidders to place such multi-lot bids and it must decide which bidders to choose as the winners.
- Naturally, one is interested in designing the website so that it always chooses a set of winning bids that maximizes the total amount of money paid for the items being auctioned.
- So how do you decide which bidders to choose as the winners?

Greedy Method
The Greedy Method

The greedy method is a general algorithm design paradigm, built on the following elements:

- **configurations**: different choices, collections, or values to find
- **objective function**: a score assigned to configurations, which we want to either maximize or minimize

It works best when applied to problems with the greedy-choice property:

- a globally-optimal solution can always be found by a series of local improvements from a starting configuration.
The Greedy Method

The sequence of choices starts from some well-understood starting configuration, and then iteratively makes the decision that is best from all of those that are currently possible, in terms of improving the objective function.

```plaintext
Step 1:
- score = 5
  - +4
  - +3
  - +2

Step 2:
- score = 9
  - +2
  - +1
  - +3
  - score = 11
  - score = 10

Step 3:
- score = 12
  - +5
  - +3
  - +4
  - score = 17
  - score = 15
  - score = 16
```
Web Auction Application

- This greedy strategy works for the profit-maximizing online auction problem if you can satisfy a bid to buy $x$ units for $y$ by selling $k < x$ units for $\frac{yk}{x}$.

- In this case, this problem is equivalent to the \textit{fractional knapsack problem}.
Web Auctions and the Fractional Knapsack Problem

- In the **knapsack problem**, we are given a set of n items, each having a weight and a benefit, and we are interested in choosing the set of items that maximize our total benefit while not going over the weight capacity of the knapsack.
- In the web auction application, each bid is an item, with its “weight” being the number of units being requested and its benefit being the amount of money being offered.
- In the instance, where bids can be satisfied with a partial fulfillment, then it is an instance of the **fractional** knapsack problem, for which the greedy method works to find an optimal solution.
- Interestingly, for the “0-1” version of the problem, where fractional choices are not allowed, then the greedy method may not work and the problem is potentially very difficult to solve in polynomial time.
The Fractional Knapsack Problem

Given: A set $S$ of $n$ items, with each item $i$ having
- $b_i$ - a positive benefit
- $w_i$ - a positive weight

Goal: Choose items with maximum total benefit but with weight at most $W$.

If we are allowed to take fractional amounts, then this is the **fractional knapsack problem**.
- In this case, we let $x_i$ denote the amount we take of item $i$

Objective: maximize 
$$ \sum_{i \in S} b_i \left( \frac{x_i}{w_i} \right) $$

Constraint: 
$$ \sum_{i \in S} x_i \leq W $$

Greedy Method
Example

- **Given:** A set $S$ of $n$ items, with each item $i$ having
  - $b_i$ - a positive benefit
  - $w_i$ - a positive weight
- **Goal:** Choose items with maximum total benefit but with weight at most $W$.

**Items:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Value ($/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 ml</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 ml</td>
<td>$32</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 ml</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 ml</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 ml</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Solution:**
- 1 ml of 5
- 2 ml of 3
- 6 ml of 4
- 1 ml of 2

---

“knapsack”
The Fractional Knapsack Algorithm

- **Greedy choice**: Keep taking item with highest **value** (benefit to weight ratio)
  - Since \( \sum_{i \in S} b_i (x_i / w_i) = \sum_{i \in S} (b_i / w_i) x_i \)
  - Run time: \( O(n \log n) \). Why?

- **Correctness**: Suppose there is a better solution
  - there is an item \( i \) with higher value than a chosen item \( j \), but \( x_i \leq w_i, x_j > 0 \) and \( v_i < v_j \)
  - If we substitute some \( i \) with \( j \), we get a better solution
  - How much of \( i \): \( \min\{w_i - x_i, x_j\} \)
  - Thus, there is no better solution than the greedy one

---

**Algorithm** `fractionalKnapsack(S, W)`

**Input**: set \( S \) of items w/ benefit \( b_i \) and weight \( w_i \); max. weight \( W \)

**Output**: amount \( x_i \) of each item \( i \) to maximize benefit w/ weight at most \( W \)

**for each item \( i \) in \( S \)**

- \( x_i \leftarrow 0 \)
- \( v_i \leftarrow b_i / w_i \quad \text{\{value\}} \)
- \( w \leftarrow 0 \quad \text{\{total weight\}} \)

**while** \( w < W \)

- **remove item \( i \) w/ highest \( v_i \)**
- \( x_i \leftarrow \min\{w_i, W - w\} \)
- \( w \leftarrow w + \min\{w_i, W - w\} \)
We can sort the items by their benefit-to-weight values, and then process them in this order.

This would require $O(n \log n)$ time to sort the items and then $O(n)$ time to process them in the while-loop.

To see that our algorithm is correct, suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is an optimal solution better than the one chosen by this greedy algorithm.

Then there must be two items $i$ and $j$ such that

$$x_i < w_i, \quad x_j > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad v_i > v_j.$$

Let $y = \min\{w_i - x_i, x_j\}$.

But then we could replace an amount $y$ of item $j$ with an equal amount of item $i$, thus increasing the total benefit without changing the total weight, which contradicts the assumption that this non-greedy solution is optimal.
Task Scheduling

- Given: a set \( T \) of \( n \) tasks, each having:
  - A start time, \( s_i \)
  - A finish time, \( f_i \) (where \( s_i < f_i \))

- Goal: Perform all the tasks using a minimum number of "machines."

![Diagram of task scheduling with machines 1, 2, and 3]
Example

- Given: a set $T$ of $n$ tasks, each having:
  - A start time, $s_i$
  - A finish time, $f_i$ (where $s_i < f_i$)
- $[1,4], [1,3], [2,5], [3,7], [4,7], [6,9], [7,8]$ (ordered by start)

- Goal: Perform all tasks on min. number of machines
Task Scheduling Algorithm

- Greedy choice: consider tasks by their start time and use as few machines as possible with this order.
  - Run time: $O(n \log n)$. Why?
- Correctness: Suppose there is a better schedule.
  - We can use $k-1$ machines
  - The algorithm uses $k$
  - Let $i$ be first task scheduled on machine $k$
  - Machine $i$ must conflict with $k-1$ other tasks
  - But that means there is no non-conflicting schedule using $k-1$ machines

Algorithm `taskSchedule(T)`

- **Input:** set $T$ of tasks w/ start time $s_i$ and finish time $f_i$
- **Output:** non-conflicting schedule with minimum number of machines
- $m \leftarrow 0$ {no. of machines}
- while $T$ is not empty
  - remove task $i$ w/ smallest $s_i$
  - if there’s a machine $j$ for $i$ then
    - schedule $i$ on machine $j$
  - else
    - $m \leftarrow m + 1$
    - schedule $i$ on machine $m$
Text Compression

Given a string $X$, efficiently encode $X$ into a smaller string $Y$
- Saves memory and/or bandwidth

A good approach: **Huffman encoding**
- Compute frequency $f(c)$ for each character $c$
- Encode high-frequency characters with short code words
- No code word is a prefix for another code
- Use an optimal encoding tree to determine the code words
Encoding Tree Example

- A **code** is a mapping of each character of an alphabet to a binary code-word.
- A **prefix code** is a binary code such that no code-word is the prefix of another code-word.
- An **encoding tree** represents a prefix code:
  - Each external node stores a character.
  - The code word of a character is given by the path from the root to the external node storing the character (0 for a left child and 1 for a right child).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>00</th>
<th>010</th>
<th>011</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Encoding Tree Diagram]
Encoding Tree Optimization

Given a text string $X$, we want to find a prefix code for the characters of $X$ that yields a small encoding for $X$

- Frequent characters should have long code-words
- Rare characters should have short code-words

Example

- $X = \text{abracadabra}$
- $T_1$ encodes $X$ into 29 bits
- $T_2$ encodes $X$ into 24 bits
Huffman’s Algorithm

- Given a string $X$, Huffman’s algorithm constructs a prefix code that minimizes the size of the encoding of $X$.
- It runs in time $O(n + d \log d)$, where $n$ is the size of $X$ and $d$ is the number of distinct characters of $X$.
- A heap-based priority queue is used as an auxiliary structure.
Huffman’s Algorithm

Algorithm Huffman(\(X\)):

**Input:** String \(X\) of length \(n\) with \(d\) distinct characters

**Output:** Coding tree for \(X\)

Compute the frequency \(f(c)\) of each character \(c\) of \(X\).

Initialize a priority queue \(Q\).

**for each** character \(c\) in \(X\) **do**

Create a single-node binary tree \(T\) storing \(c\).

Insert \(T\) into \(Q\) with key \(f(c)\).

**while** \(\text{len}(Q) > 1\) **do**

\((f_1, T_1) = Q.\text{remove}_\text{min}()\)

\((f_2, T_2) = Q.\text{remove}_\text{min}()\)

Create a new binary tree \(T\) with left subtree \(T_1\) and right subtree \(T_2\).

Insert \(T\) into \(Q\) with key \(f_1 + f_2\).

\((f, T) = Q.\text{remove}_\text{min}()\)

**return** tree \(T\)
Example

\[ X = \text{abracadabra} \]

Frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Greedy Method**
Extended Huffman Tree Example

String: a fast runner need never be afraid of the dark

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>h</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>o</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>v</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Huffman tree