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Probability of an Event

We first study Pierre-Simon Laplace’s classical theory of probability, which he introduced in the 18th century, when he analyzed games of chance.

We first define these key terms:

- An experiment is a procedure that yields one of a given set of possible outcomes.
- The sample space of the experiment is the set of possible outcomes.
- An event is a subset of the sample space.

Here is how Laplace defined the probability of an event:

**Definition:** If $S$ is a finite sample space of equally likely outcomes, and $E$ is an event, that is, a subset of $S$, then the probability of $E$ is $p(E) = |E|/|S|$.

For every event $E$, we have $0 \leq p(E) \leq 1$. This follows directly from the definition because $0 \leq p(E) = |E|/|S| \leq |S|/|S| \leq 1$, since $0 \leq |E| \leq |S|$.
Applying Laplace’s Definition

**Example**: An urn contains four blue balls and five red balls. What is the probability that a ball chosen from the urn is blue?

**Solution**: The probability that the ball is chosen is $\frac{4}{9}$ since there are nine equally likely possible outcomes, and four of these produce a blue ball.

**Example**: What is the probability that when two dice are rolled, the sum of the numbers on the two dice is 7?

**Solution**: By the product rule there are $6^2 = 36$ possible outcomes. Six of these sum to 7. Hence, the probability of obtaining a 7 is $\frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$.
Applying Laplace’s Definition

**Example:** What is the probability that when two dice are rolled, the sum of the numbers on the two dice is 7?

**Solution:** By the product rule there are $6^2 = 36$ possible outcomes. Six of these sum to 7. Hence, the probability of obtaining a 7 is $6/36 = 1/6$.

**Note:** This is an example of a problem where equally likely outcomes is important. We could have defined the sample space S to be \{2,3,4,...,12\}. Then $|S| = 10$. But P(7) is NOT 1/10.
Applying Laplace's Definition

**Example:** In a lottery, a player wins a large prize when they pick four digits that match, in correct order, four digits selected by a random mechanical process. What is the probability that a player wins the prize?

**Solution:** By the product rule there are $10^4 = 10,000$ ways to pick four digits.
- Since there is only 1 way to pick the correct digits, the probability of winning the large prize is $1/10,000 = 0.0001$.

A smaller prize is won if only three digits are matched. What is the probability that a player wins the small prize?

**Solution:** If exactly three digits are matched, one of the four digits must be incorrect and the other three digits must be correct. For the digit that is incorrect, there are 9 possible choices. Hence, by the sum rule, there a total of 36 possible ways to choose four digits that match exactly three of the winning four digits. The probability of winning the small prize is $36/10,000 = 9/2500 = 0.0036$. 
Applying Laplace’s Definition

Example: There are many lotteries that award prizes to people who correctly choose a set of six numbers out of the first $n$ positive integers, where $n$ is usually between 30 and 60. What is the probability that a person picks the correct six numbers out of 40?

Solution: The number of ways to choose six numbers out of 40 is

$$C(40,6) = \frac{40!}{(34!6!)} = 3,838,380.$$ 

Hence, the probability of picking a winning combination is

$$1/3,838,380 \approx 0.00000026.$$ 

Can you work out the probability of winning the lottery with the biggest prize where you live?
Example: What is the probability that the numbers 11, 4, 17, 39, and 23 are drawn in that order from a bin with 50 balls labeled with the numbers 1, 2, ..., 50 if

a) The ball selected is not returned to the bin.
b) The ball selected is returned to the bin before the next ball is selected.

Solution: Use the product rule in each case.

a) **Sampling without replacement:** The probability is $\frac{1}{254,251,200}$ since there are $50 \cdot 49 \cdot 47 \cdot 46 \cdot 45 = 254,251,200$ ways to choose the five balls.
b) **Sampling with replacement:** The probability is $\frac{1}{50^5} = \frac{1}{312,500,000}$ since $50^5 = 312,500,000$. 
The Probability of Complements and Unions of Events

**Theorem 1:** Let $E$ be an event in sample space $S$. The probability of the event $\overline{E} = S - E$, the complementary event of $E$, is given by

$$p(\overline{E}) = 1 - p(E).$$

**Proof:** Using the fact that $|\overline{E}| = |S| - |E|$, we have

$$p(\overline{E}) = \frac{|S| - |E|}{|S|} = 1 - \frac{|E|}{|S|} = 1 - p(E).$$
The Probability of Complements and Unions of Events

**Example:** A sequence of 10 bits is chosen randomly. What is the probability that at least one of these bits is 0?

**Solution:** Let $E$ be the event that at least one of the 10 bits is 0. Then $\overline{E}$ is the event that all of the bits are 1s. The size of the sample space $S$ is $2^{10}$. Hence,

$$p(E) = 1 - p(\overline{E}) = 1 - \frac{|E|}{|S|} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^{10}} = 1 - \frac{1}{1024} = \frac{1023}{1024}.$$
The Probability of Complements and Unions of Events

**Theorem 2:** Let $E_1$ and $E_2$ be events in the sample space $S$. Then

$$p(E_1 \cup E_2) = p(E_1) + p(E_2) - p(E_1 \cap E_2)$$

**Proof:** Given the inclusion-exclusion formula from Section 2.2, $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$, it follows that

$$p(E_1 \cup E_2) = \frac{|E_1 \cup E_2|}{|S|} = \frac{|E_1| + |E_2| - |E_1 \cap E_2|}{|S|}$$

$$= \frac{|E_1|}{|S|} + \frac{|E_2|}{|S|} - \frac{|E_1 \cap E_2|}{|S|}$$

$$= p(E_1) + p(E_2) - p(E_1 \cap E_2).$$
The Probability of Complements and Unions of Events

**Example:** What is the probability that a positive integer selected at random from the set of positive integers not exceeding 100 is divisible by either 2 or 5?

**Solution:** Let $E_1$ be the event that the integer is divisible by 2 and $E_2$ be the event that it is divisible by 5. Then the event that the integer is divisible by 2 or 5 is $E_1 \cup E_2$ and $E_1 \cap E_2$ is the event that it is divisible by 2 and 5.

It follows that:

$$p(E_1 \cup E_2) = p(E_1) + p(E_2) - p(E_1 \cap E_2)$$

$$= \frac{50}{100} + \frac{20}{100} - \frac{10}{100} = \frac{3}{5}.$$
Example: You are asked to select one of the three doors to open. There is a large prize behind one of the doors and if you select that door, you win the prize. After you select a door, the game show host opens one of the other doors (which he knows is not the winning door). The prize is not behind the door and he gives you the opportunity to switch your selection. Should you switch?

(This is a notoriously confusing problem that has been the subject of much discussion. Do a web search to see why!)

Solution: You should switch. The probability that your initial pick is correct is 1/3. This is the same whether or not you switch doors. But since the game show host always opens a door that does not have the prize, if you switch the probability of winning will be 2/3, because you win if your initial pick was not the correct door and the probability your initial pick was wrong is 2/3.
Probability Theory

Section 7.2
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- Assigning Probabilities
- Probabilities of Complements and Unions of Events
- Conditional Probability
- Independence
- Bernoulli Trials and the Binomial Distribution
- Random Variables
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- Monte Carlo Algorithms
- The Probabilistic Method (*not currently included in the overheads*)
Assigning Probabilities

Laplace’s definition from the previous section, assumes that all outcomes are equally likely. Now we introduce a more general definition of probabilities that avoids this restriction.

Let $S$ be a sample space of an experiment with a finite number of outcomes. We assign a probability $p(s)$ to each outcome $s$, so that:

0 ≤ $p(s)$ ≤ 1 for each $s ∈ S$ and

$$\sum_{s ∈ S} p(s) = 1$$

The function $p$ from the set of all outcomes of the sample space $S$ is called a probability distribution.
Example: What probabilities should we assign to the outcomes \( H \) (heads) and \( T \) (tails) when a fair coin is flipped? What probabilities should be assigned to these outcomes when the coin is biased so that heads comes up twice as often as tails?

Solution: For a fair coin, we have \( p(H) = p(T) = \frac{1}{2} \).

For a biased coin, we have \( p(H) = 2p(T) \).

Because \( p(H) + p(T) = 1 \), it follows that

\[
2p(T) + p(T) = 3p(T) = 1.
\]

Hence, \( p(T) = \frac{1}{3} \) and \( p(H) = \frac{2}{3} \).
Uniform Distribution

**Definition:** Suppose that $S$ is a set with $n$ elements. The *uniform distribution* assigns the probability $1/n$ to each element of $S$. (Note that we could have used Laplace’s definition here.)

**Example:** Consider again the coin flipping example, but with a fair coin. Now $p(H) = p(T) = 1/2$. 
Probability of an Event

**Definition:** The probability of the event $E$ is the sum of the probabilities of the outcomes in $E$.

$$p(E) = \sum_{s \in S} p(s)$$

Note that now no assumption is being made about the distribution.
Example: Suppose that a die is biased so that 3 appears twice as often as each other number, but that the other five outcomes are equally likely. What is the probability that an odd number appears when we roll this die?

Solution: We want the probability of the event $E = \{1, 3, 5\}$. We have $p(3) = 2/7$ and

$$p(1) = p(2) = p(4) = p(5) = p(6) = 1/7.$$  

Hence, $p(E) = p(1) + p(3) + p(5) = 1/7 + 2/7 + 1/7 = 4/7$. 
Probabilities of Complements and Unions of Events

Complements:  \( p(\overline{E}) = 1 - p(E) \) still holds. Since each outcome is in either \( E \) or \( \overline{E} \), but not both,

\[
\sum_{s \in S} p(s) = 1 = p(E) + p(\overline{E}).
\]

Unions:  \( p(E_1 \cup E_2) = p(E_1) + p(E_2) - p(E_1 \cap E_2) \) also still holds under the new definition.
Combinations of Events

**Theorem:** If $E_1, E_2, \ldots$ is a sequence of pairwise disjoint events in a sample space $S$, then

$$p \left( \bigcup_{i} E_i \right) = \sum_{i} p(E_i)$$

*How might you prove this?*
Conditional Probability

**Definition:** Let $E$ and $F$ be events with $p(F) > 0$. The conditional probability of $E$ given $F$, denoted by $P(E|F)$, is defined as:

$$p(E|F) = \frac{p(E \cap F)}{p(F)}$$

**Example:** A bit string of length four is generated at random so that each of the 16 bit strings of length 4 is equally likely. What is the probability that it contains at least two consecutive 0s, given that its first bit is a 0?

**Solution:** Let $E$ be the event that the bit string contains at least two consecutive 0s, and $F$ be the event that the first bit is a 0.

- Since $E \cap F = \{0000, 0001, 0010, 0011, 0100\}$, $p(E \cap F) = 5/16$.
- Because 8 bit strings of length 4 start with a 0, $p(F) = 8/16 = 1/2$.

Hence,

$$p(E|F) = \frac{p(E \cap F)}{p(F)} = \frac{5/16}{1/2} = \frac{5}{8}.$$
Why Does this Make Sense?

- Not Possible to Happen as B already happened
- New Sample Space (After B happened)
Conditional Probability

**Example:** What is the conditional probability that a family with two children has two boys, given that they have at least one boy. Assume that each of the possibilities $BB$, $BG$, $GB$, and $GG$ is equally likely where $B$ represents a boy and $G$ represents a girl.

**Solution:** Let $E$ be the event that the family has two boys and let $F$ be the event that the family has at least one boy. Then $E = \{BB\}$, $F = \{BB, BG, GB\}$, and $E \cap F = \{BB\}$.

- It follows that $p(F) = \frac{3}{4}$ and $p(E \cap F) = \frac{1}{4}$.

Hence,

$$p(E|F) = \frac{p(E \cap F)}{p(F)} = \frac{1/4}{3/4} = \frac{1}{3}.$$
**Independence**

**Definition:** The events $E$ and $F$ are independent if and only if

$$p(E \cap F) = p(E)p(F).$$

**Example:** Suppose $E$ is the event that a randomly generated bit string of length four begins with a 1 and $F$ is the event that this bit string contains an even number of 1s. Are $E$ and $F$ independent if the 16 bit strings of length four are equally likely?

**Solution:** There are eight bit strings of length four that begin with a 1, and eight bit strings of length four that contain an even number of 1s.

- Since the number of bit strings of length 4 is 16,
  $$p(E) = p(F) = \frac{8}{16} = \frac{1}{2}.$$  
- Since $E \cap F = \{1111, 1100, 1010, 1001\}$, $p(E \cap F) = \frac{4}{16} = \frac{1}{4}$. We conclude that $E$ and $F$ are independent, because
  $$p(E \cap F) = \frac{1}{4} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = p(E)p(F)$$
Example: Assume (as in the previous example) that each of the four ways a family can have two children ($BB$, $GG$, $BG$, $GB$) is equally likely. Are the events $E$, that a family with two children has two boys, and $F$, that a family with two children has at least one boy, independent?

Solution: Because $E = \{BB\}$, $p(E) = 1/4$. We saw previously that $p(F) = 3/4$ and $p(E \cap F) = 1/4$. The events $E$ and $F$ are not independent since

$$p(E) \cdot p(F) = 3/16 \neq 1/4 = p(E \cap F).$$
Pairwise and Mutual Independence

**Definition:** The events $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n$ are pairwise independent if and only if

$$p(E_i \cap E_j) = p(E_i) p(E_j)$$

for all pairs $i$ and $j$ with $i \leq j \leq n$.

The events are mutually independent if

$$p(E_{i_1} \cap E_{i_2} \cap \cdots \cap E_{i_m}) = p(E_{i_1}) p(E_{i_2}) \cdots p(E_{i_m})$$

whenever $i_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, are integers with

$$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad m \geq 2.$$
Bernoulli Trials

**Definition:** Suppose an experiment can have only two possible outcomes, *e.g.*, the flipping of a coin or the random generation of a bit.

- Each performance of the experiment is called a *Bernoulli trial*.
- One outcome is called a *success* and the other a *failure*.
- If $p$ is the probability of success and $q$ the probability of failure, then $q = 1 - p$.

Many problems involve determining the probability of $k$ successes when an experiment consists of $n$ mutually independent Bernoulli trials.
Bernoulli Trials

Example: A coin is biased so that the probability of heads is \( \frac{2}{3} \). What is the probability that exactly four heads occur when the coin is flipped seven times?

Solution: There are \( 2^7 = 128 \) possible outcomes. The number of ways four of the seven flips can be heads is \( \binom{7}{4} \). The probability of each of the outcomes is \( \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 \) since the seven flips are independent. Hence, the probability that exactly four heads occur is

\[
\binom{7}{4} \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^3 = \frac{(35 \cdot 16)}{2^7} = \frac{560}{2187}.
\]
Probability of $k$ Successes in $n$ Independent Bernoulli Trials.

**Theorem 2:** The probability of exactly $k$ successes in $n$ independent Bernoulli trials, with probability of success $p$ and probability of failure $q = 1 - p$, is

$$C(n,k)p^kq^{n-k}.$$

**Proof:** The outcome of $n$ Bernoulli trials is an $n$-tuple $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$, where each is $t_i$ either $S$ (success) or $F$ (failure). The probability of each outcome of $n$ trials consisting of $k$ successes and $k - 1$ failures (in any order) is $p^kq^{n-k}$. Because there are $C(n,k)$ $n$-tuples of $S$s and $F$s that contain exactly $k$ $S$s, the probability of $k$ successes is $C(n,k)p^kq^{n-k}$.

We denote by $b(k:n,p)$ the probability of $k$ successes in $n$ independent Bernoulli trials with $p$ the probability of success. Viewed as a function of $k$, $b(k:n,p)$ is the binomial distribution. By Theorem 2,

$$b(k:n,p) = C(n,k)p^kq^{n-k}.$$
Random Variables

**Definition:** A *random variable* is a function from the sample space of an experiment to the set of real numbers. That is, a random variable assigns a real number to each possible outcome.

- A random variable is a **function**. It is not a variable, and it is not random!
- In the late 1940s W. Feller and J.L. Doob flipped a coin to see whether both would use “random variable” or the more fitting “chance variable.” Unfortunately, Feller won and the term “random variable” has been used ever since.
Random Variables

**Definition:** The *distribution* of a random variable $X$ on a sample space $S$ is the set of pairs $(r, p(X = r))$ for all $r \in X(S)$, where $p(X = r)$ is the probability that $X$ takes the value $r$.

**Example:** Suppose that a coin is flipped three times. Let $X(t)$ be the random variable that equals the number of heads that appear when $t$ is the outcome. Then $X(t)$ takes on the following values:

- $X(\text{HHH}) = 3, \ X(\text{TTT}) = 0,$
- $X(\text{HHT}) = X(\text{HTH}) = X(\text{THH}) = 2,$
- $X(\text{TTH}) = X(\text{THT}) = X(\text{HTT}) = 1.$

Each of the eight possible outcomes has probability $1/8$. So, the distribution of $X(t)$ is $p(X = 3) = 1/8$, $p(X = 2) = 3/8$, $p(X = 1) = 3/8$, and $p(X = 0) = 1/8$. 

The Famous Birthday Problem

The puzzle of finding the number of people needed in a room to ensure that the probability of at least two of them having the same birthday is more than \( \frac{1}{2} \) has a surprising answer, which we now find.

**Solution**: We assume that all birthdays are equally likely and that there are 366 days in the year. First, we find the probability \( p_n \) that at least two of \( n \) people have different birthdays.

Now, imagine the people entering the room one by one. The probability that at least two have the same birthday is \( 1 - p_n \).

- The probability that the birthday of the second person is different from that of the first is \( \frac{365}{366} \).
- The probability that the birthday of the third person is different from the other two, when these have two different birthdays, is \( \frac{364}{366} \).
- In general, the probability that the \( j \)th person has a birthday different from the birthdays of those already in the room, assuming that these people all have different birthdays, is \( \frac{(366 - (j - 1))}{366} = \frac{367 - j}{366} \).
- Hence, \( p_n = \frac{365}{366} \times \frac{364}{366} \times \cdots \times \frac{367 - n}{366} \).
- Therefore, \( 1 - p_n = 1 - \frac{365}{366} \times \frac{364}{366} \times \cdots \times \frac{367 - n}{366} \).

Checking various values for \( n \) with computation help tells us that for \( n = 22 \), \( 1 - p_n \approx 0.457 \), and for \( n = 23 \), \( 1 - p_n \approx 0.506 \). Consequently, a minimum number of 23 people are needed so that the probability that at least two of them have the same birthday is greater than \( \frac{1}{2} \).
Birthday Paradox

- Classic probability problem that demonstrates that probability results often nonintuitive
- The problem: Given a room with $k$ people, what is the probability that two of them have the same birthday (same month and day, assume no twins, etc)
- We seek

\[ P(n, k) = \Pr[\text{at least one duplicate in } k \text{ items, with each item able to take on one of } n \text{ equally likely values between } 1 \text{ and } n] \]

We want $P(365,k)$
We start by computing $Q = \Pr[\text{no matches}]$, so $P = 1 - Q$.

First, number of ways of choosing $k$ objects from group of 365 with no repeats:

$$N = 365 \times 364 \times 363 \times \ldots \times (365 - k + 1) = \frac{365!}{(365 - k)!}$$

If we allow repeats, then there are $365^k$ possibilities. So, probability of no repeats is

$$Q(365, k) = \frac{365!}{365^k (365 - k)!} = \frac{365!}{(365 - k)!365^k}$$

Thus, $P(365, k) = 1 - Q(365, k) = 1 - \frac{365!}{(365 - k)!365^k}$
Graph of $P(365,k)$
Monte Carlo Algorithms

Algorithms that make random choices at one or more steps are called *probabilistic algorithms*.

*Monte Carlo algorithms* are probabilistic algorithms used to answer decision problems, which are problems that either have “true” or “false” as their answer.

- A Monte Carlo algorithm consists of a sequence of tests. For each test the algorithm responds “true” or ‘unknown.’
- If the response is “true,” the algorithm terminates with the answer is “true.”
- After running a specified sequence of tests where every step yields “unknown”, the algorithm outputs “false.”
- The idea is that the probability of the algorithm incorrectly outputting “false” should be very small as long as a sufficient number of tests are performed.
Probabilistic Primality Testing

Probabilistic primality testing (see Example 16 in text) is an example of a Monte Carlo algorithm, which is used to find large primes to generate the encryption keys for RSA cryptography (as discussed in Chapter 4).

- An integer $n$ greater than 1 can be shown to be composite (i.e., not prime) if it fails a particular test (Miller’s test), using a random integer $b$ with $1 < b < n$ as the base. But if $n$ passes Miller’s test for a particular base $b$, it may either be prime or composite. The probability that a composite integer passes $n$ Miller’s test is for a random $b$, is less that $\frac{1}{4}$.

- So failing the test, is the “true” response in a Monte Carlo algorithm, and passing the test is “unknown.”

- If the test is performed $k$ times (choosing a random integer $b$ each time) and the number $n$ passes Miller’s test at every iteration, then the probability that it is composite is less than $(1/4)^k$. So for a sufficiently, large $k$, the probability that $n$ is composite even though it has passed all $k$ iterations of Miller’s test is small. For example, with 10 iterations, the probability that $n$ is composite is less than $\frac{1}{1,000,000}$. 
Bayes’ Theorem

Section 7.3
Section Summary

- Bayes’ Theorem
- Generalized Bayes’ Theorem
Motivation for Bayes’ Theorem

Bayes’ theorem allows us to use probability to answer questions such as the following:

- Given that someone tests positive for having a particular disease, what is the probability that they actually do have the disease?
- Given that someone tests negative for the disease, what is the probability, that in fact they do have the disease?

Bayes’ theorem has applications to medicine, law, artificial intelligence, engineering, and many diverse other areas.
Bayes’ Theorem: Suppose that $E$ and $F$ are events from a sample space $S$ such that $p(E) \neq 0$ and $p(F) \neq 0$. Then:

$$p(F|E) = \frac{p(E|F)p(F)}{p(E|F)p(F) + p(E|\overline{F})p(\overline{F})}$$

Example: We have two boxes. The first box contains two green balls and seven red balls. The second contains four green balls and three red balls. Bob selects one of the boxes at random. Then he selects a ball from that box at random. If he has a red ball, what is the probability that he selected a ball from the first box.

- Let $E$ be the event that Bob has chosen a red ball and $F$ be the event that Bob has chosen the first box.
- By Bayes’ theorem the probability that Bob has picked the first box is:

$$p(F|E) = \frac{(7/9)(1/2)}{(7/9)(1/2) + (3/7)(1/2)} = \frac{7/18}{38/63} = \frac{49}{76} \approx 0.645.$$
Derivation of Bayes’ Theorem

Recall the definition of the conditional probability $p(E|F)$:

$$p(E|F) = \frac{p(E \cap F)}{p(F)}$$

From this definition, it follows that:

$$p(E|F) = \frac{p(E \cap F)}{p(F)}$$
$$p(F|E) = \frac{p(E \cap F)}{p(E)}$$
Derivation of Bayes’ Theorem

\[ p(E|F)p(F) = p(E \cap F) \quad p(F|E)p(E) = p(E \cap F) \]

Equating the two formulas for \( p(E \cap F) \) shows that

\[ p(E|F)p(F) = p(F|E)p(E) \]

Solving for \( p(E|F) \) and \( p(F|E) \) tells us that

\[ p(E|F) = \frac{p(F|E)p(E)}{p(F)} \quad p(F|E) = \frac{p(E|F)p(F)}{p(E)} \]

Often Bayes’ Theorem is stated in this form
Derivation of Bayes’ Theorem

On the last slide we showed that:

\[ p(F|E) = \frac{p(E|F)p(F)}{p(E)} \]

But

\[ p(E) = p(E|F)p(F) + p(E|\overline{F})p(\overline{F}) \]

since \( p(E) = p(E \cap F) + p(E \cap \overline{F}) \)

because \( E = E \cap S = E \cap (F \cup \overline{F}) = (E \cap F) \cup (E \cap \overline{F}) \)

and \( (E \cap F) \cap (E \cap \overline{F}) = \emptyset \)

By the definition of conditional probability,

\[ p(E) = p(E \cap F) + p(E \cap \overline{F}) = p(E|F)p(F) + p(E|\overline{F})p(\overline{F}) \]

Hence,

\[ p(F|E) = \frac{p(E|F)p(F)}{p(E|F)p(F) + p(E|\overline{F})p(\overline{F})} \]
Applying Bayes’ Theorem

Example: Suppose that one person in 100,000 has a particular disease. There is a test for the disease that gives a positive result 99% of the time when given to someone with the disease. When given to someone without the disease, 99.5% of the time it gives a negative result. Find

a) the probability that a person who test positive has the disease.

b) the probability that a person who test negative does not have the disease.

Should someone who tests positive be worried?
Applying Bayes’ Theorem

Solution: Let $D$ be the event that the person has the disease, and $E$ be the event that this person tests positive. We need to compute $p(D|E)$ from $p(D)$, $p(E|D)$, $p(E|\bar{D})$, $p(\bar{D})$.

\[
p(D) = \frac{1}{100,000} = 0.00001 \quad p(\bar{D}) = 1 - 0.00001 = 0.99999
\]

\[
p(E|D) = 0.99 \quad p(\bar{E}|D) = 0.01 \quad p(E|\bar{D}) = 0.005 \quad p(\bar{E}|\bar{D}) = 0.995
\]

\[
p(D|E) = \frac{p(E|D)p(D)}{p(E|D)p(D) + p(E|\bar{D})p(\bar{D})} = \frac{(0.99)(0.00001)}{(0.99)(0.00001) + (0.005)(0.99999)}
\]

\[
\approx 0.002
\]

Can you use this formula to explain why the resulting probability is surprisingly small?

So, don’t worry too much, if your test for this disease comes back positive.
Applying Bayes’ Theorem

■ What if the result is negative?

\[
p(D|\overline{E}) = \frac{p(\overline{E}|D)p(D)}{p(\overline{E}|D)p(D) + p(\overline{E}|\overline{D})p(\overline{D})}
\]

So, the probability you have the disease if you test negative is

\[
p(D|\overline{E}) \approx 1 - 0.9999999 \\
= 0.0000001.
\]

\[
= \frac{(0.995)(0.999999)}{(0.995)(0.999999) + (0.01)(0.000001)}
\]

\[
\approx 0.9999999
\]

■ So, it is extremely unlikely you have the disease if you test negative.
Generalized Bayes’ Theorem: Suppose that $E$ is an event from a sample space $S$ and that $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ are mutually exclusive events such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} F_i = S.$$ 

Assume that $p(E) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$p(F_j|E) = \frac{p(E|F_j)p(F_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(E|F_i)p(F_i)}.$$
Bayesian Spam Filters

- How do we develop a tool for determining whether an email is likely to be spam?
- If we have an initial set $B$ of spam messages and set $G$ of non-spam messages. We can use this information along with Bayes’ law to predict the probability that a new email message is spam.
- We look at a particular word $w$, and count the number of times that it occurs in $B$ and in $G$; $n_B(w)$ and $n_G(w)$.
  - Estimated probability that a spam message contains $w$ is: $p(w) = n_B(w)/|B|$  
  - Estimated probability that a message that is not spam contains $w$ is: $q(w) = n_G(w)/|G|$  

continued →
Bayesian Spam Filters

Let $S$ be the event that the message is spam, and $E$ be the event that the message contains the word $w$.

Using Bayes’ Rule,

$$p(S|E) = \frac{p(E|S)p(S)}{p(E|S)p(S) + p(E|\overline{S})p(\overline{S})}$$

Assuming that it is equally likely that an arbitrary message is spam and is not spam; i.e., $p(S) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Using our empirical estimates of $p(E|S)$ and $p(E|\overline{S})$.

Note: If we have data on the frequency of spam messages, we can obtain a better estimate for $p(s)$. (See Exercise 22.)

$$r(w) = \frac{p(w)}{p(w) + q(w)}$$

$r(w)$ estimates the probability that the message is spam. We can class the message as spam if $r(w)$ is above a threshold.
Bayesian Spam Filters

Example: We find that the word “Rolex” occurs in 250 out of 2000 spam messages and occurs in 5 out of 1000 non-spam messages. Estimate the probability that an incoming message is spam. Suppose our threshold for rejecting the email is 0.9.

Solution: \[ p(\text{Rolex}) = \frac{250}{2000} = .0125 \] and \[ q(\text{Rolex}) = \frac{5}{1000} = 0.005. \]

\[
r(\text{Rolex}) = \frac{p(\text{Rolex})}{p(\text{Rolex}) + q(\text{Rolex})} = \frac{0.125}{0.125 + .005} = \frac{0.125}{0.125 + .005} \approx 0.962
\]

We class the message as spam and reject the email!
Bayesian Spam Filters using Multiple Words

Accuracy can be improved by considering more than one word as evidence.

Consider the case where $E_1$ and $E_2$ denote the events that the message contains the words $w_1$ and $w_2$ respectively.

We make the simplifying assumption that the events are independent. And again we assume that $p(S) = \frac{1}{2}$.

$$p(S | E_1 \cap E_2) = \frac{p(E_1 | S)p(E_2 | S)}{p(E_1 | S)p(E_2 | S) + p(E_1 \overline{S})p(E_2 \overline{S})}$$

$$r(w_1, w_2) = \frac{p(w_1)p(w_2)}{p(w_1)p(w_2) + r(w_1)p(w_2)}$$
Bayesian Spam Filters using Multiple Words

Example: We have 2000 spam messages and 1000 non-spam messages. The word “stock” occurs 400 times in the spam messages and 60 times in the non-spam. The word “undervalued” occurs in 200 spam messages and 25 non-spam.

Solution: \[ p(stock) = \frac{400}{2000} = .2, \quad q(stock) = \frac{60}{1000} = .06, \]
\[ p(undervalued) = \frac{200}{2000} = .1, \quad q(undervalued) = \frac{25}{1000} = .025 \]

\[ r(stock, undervalued) = \frac{p(stock)p(undervalued)}{p(stock)p(undervalued) + q(stock)q(undervalued)} \]
\[ = \frac{(0.2)(0.1)}{(0.2)(0.1) + (0.06)(0.025)} \approx 0.930 \]

If our threshold is .9, we class the message as spam and reject it.
Bayesian Spam Filters using Multiple Words

In general, the more words we consider, the more accurate the spam filter. With the independence assumption if we consider $k$ words:

$$P(S | \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} E_i) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} p(E_i | S)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} p(E_1 | S) + \prod_{i=1}^{k} p(E_i | S)}$$

$$r(w_1, w_2, ... w_n) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} p(w_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} p(w_i) + \prod_{i=1}^{k} q(w_i)}$$

We can further improve the filter by considering pairs of words as a single block or certain types of strings.
Expected Value and Variance

Section 6.4
Section Summary

- Expected Value
- Linearity of Expectations
- Average-Case Computational Complexity
- Geometric Distribution
- Independent Random Variables
- Variance
- Chebyshev’s Inequality
Expected Value

**Definition**: The *expected value* (or *expectation* or *mean*) of the random variable $X(s)$ on the sample space $S$ is equal to

$$E(X) = \sum_{x \in S} p(s) X(s).$$

**Example-Expected Value of a Die**: Let $X$ be the number that comes up when a fair die is rolled. What is the expected value of $X$?

**Solution**: The random variable $X$ takes the values 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6. Each has probability $1/6$. It follows that

$$E(X) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{6} \cdot 6 = \frac{21}{6} = \frac{7}{2}.$$
Expected Value

**Theorem 1:** If $X$ is a random variable and $p(X = r)$ is the probability that $X = r$, so that

$$p(X = r) = \sum_{s \in S, X(s) = r} p(s),$$

then

$$E(X) = \sum_{r \in X(S)} p(X = r)r.$$

**Proof:** Suppose that $X$ is a random variable with range $X(S)$ and let $p(X = r)$ be the probability that $X$ takes the value $r$. Consequently, $p(X = r)$ is the sum of the probabilities of the outcomes $s$ such that $X(s) = r$. Hence,

$$E(X) = \sum_{r \in X(S)} p(X = r)r.$$
Expected Value

**Theorem 2:** The expected number of successes when \( n \) mutually independent Bernoulli trials are performed, where, the probability of success on each trial is \( p, \) is \( np. \)

**Proof:** Let \( X \) be the random variable equal to the number of success in \( n \) trials. By Theorem 2 of section 7.2, \( p(X = k) = C(n,k)p^kq^{n-k}. \) Hence,

\[
E(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} kp(X = k)
\]

by

**Theorem 1**
Binomial Theorem: Let $x$ and $y$ be variables, and $n$ a nonnegative integer. Then:

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} x^{n-j} y^j = \binom{n}{0} x^n + \binom{n}{1} x^{n-1} y + \ldots + \binom{n}{n-1} x y^{n-1} + \binom{n}{n} y^n.$$ 

See proof in your text in Chapter 6. Can be done using induction! It helps if you first prove (directly) that for integers $r$ and $k$ with $1 \leq r \leq k$,

$$\binom{k}{r-1} + \binom{k}{r} = \binom{k+1}{r}.$$
Binomial Theorem Proof

If you get stuck, take a look here:
http://www.math.ucsd.edu/~benchow/BinomialTheorem.pdf
Expected Value

The main idea is to factor out $np$. I believe we can rewrite:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$$

Factoring out an $np$, this gives (and cancelling the k's):

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} = np \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(n - 1)!}{(n - k)!(k - 1)!} p^{k-1} (1 - p)^{n-k}$$

Notice that the RHS is:

$$np \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(n - 1)!}{(n - k)!(k - 1)!} p^{k-1} (1 - p)^{n-k} = np \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} p^{k-1} (1 - p)^{n-k},$$

and since $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n - 1}{k - 1} p^{k-1} (1 - p)^{n-k} = (p + (1 - p))^{n-1} = 1$, we therefore indeed have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} = np$$
Linearity of Expectations

The following theorem tells us that expected values are linear. For example, the expected value of the sum of random variables is the sum of their expected values.

**Theorem 3:** If $X_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ with $n$ a positive integer, are random variables on $S$, and if $a$ and $b$ are real numbers, then

(i) $E(X_1 + X_2 + ... + X_n) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) + ... + E(X_n)$
(ii) $E(aX + b) = aE(X) + b$.

*see the text for the proof*
Linearity of Expectations

Expected Value in the Hatcheck Problem: A new employee started a job checking hats, but forgot to put the claim check numbers on the hats. So, the \( n \) customers just receive a random hat from those remaining. What is the expected number of hat returned correctly?

Solution: Let \( X \) be the random variable that equals the number of people who receive the correct hat. Note that \( X = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n \)

where \( X_i = 1 \) if the \( i \)th person receives the correct hat and \( X_i = 0 \) otherwise.

- Because it is equally likely that the checker returns any of the hats to the \( i \)th person, it follows that the probability that the \( i \)th person receives the correct hat is \( 1/n \). Consequently (by Theorem 1), for all \( i \)

\[
E(X_i) = 1 \cdot p(X_i = 1) + 0 \cdot p(X_i = 0) = 1 \cdot 1/n + 0 = 1/n.
\]

- By the linearity of expectations (Theorem 3), it follows that:

\[
E(X) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) + \cdots + E(X_n) = n \cdot 1/n = 1.
\]

Consequently, the average number of people who receive the correct hat is exactly 1. (Surprisingly, this answer remains the same no matter how many people have checked their hats!)
Linearity of Expectations

**Expected Number of Inversions in a Permutation:** The ordered pair \((i, j)\) is an inversion in a permutation of the first \(n\) positive integers if \(i < j\), but \(j\) precedes \(i\) in the permutation.  

**Example:** There are six inversions in the permutation of 3,5, 1, 4, 2 
\((1, 3), (1, 5), (2, 3), (2, 4), (2, 5), (4, 5)\).

Find the average number of inversions in a random permutation of the first \(n\) integers.

**Solution:** Let \(I_{i,j}\) be the random variable on the set of all permutations of the first \(n\) positive integers with \(I_{i,j} = 1\) if \((i,j)\) is an inversion of the permutation and \(I_{i,j} = 0\) otherwise. If \(X\) is the random variable equal to the number of inversions in the permutation, then 

\[ X = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} I_{i,j}. \]

- Since it is equally likely for \(i\) to precede \(j\) in a randomly chosen permutation as it is for \(j\) to precede \(i\), we have: 
  \[ E(I_{i,j}) = 1 \cdot p(I_{i,j} = 1) + 0 \cdot p(I_{i,j} = 0) = 1 \cdot 1/2 + 0 = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ for all } (i,j). \]

- Because there are \( \binom{n}{2} \) pairs \(i\) and \(j\) with \(1 \leq i < j \leq n\), by the linearity of expectations (Theorem 3), we have:
  \[ E(X) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E(I_{i,j}) = \binom{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{n - 1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}. \]

Consequently, it follows that there is an average of \(\frac{n(n-1)}{4}\) inversions in a random permutation of the first \(n\) positive integers.
Average-Case Computational Complexity

We’ll examine this through a specific example: quicksort!
Quick-Sort
Quick-Sort

Quick-sort is a randomized sorting algorithm based on the divide-and-conquer paradigm:

- **Divide**: pick a random element \( x \) (called **pivot**) and partition \( S \) into
  - \( L \) elements less than \( x \)
  - \( E \) elements equal \( x \)
  - \( G \) elements greater than \( x \)
- **Recur**: sort \( L \) and \( G \)
- **Conquer**: join \( L \), \( E \) and \( G \)
Partition

- We partition an input sequence as follows:
  - We remove, in turn, each element $y$ from $S$ and
  - We insert $y$ into $L$, $E$ or $G$, depending on the result of the comparison with the pivot $x$
- Each insertion and removal is at the beginning or at the end of a sequence, and hence takes $O(1)$ time
- Thus, the partition step of quick-sort takes $O(n)$ time

Algorithm $\text{partition}(S, p)$

Input sequence $S$, position $p$ of pivot
Output subsequences $L, E, G$ of the elements of $S$ less than, equal to, or greater than the pivot, resp.

$L, E, G \leftarrow$ empty sequences

$x \leftarrow S.remove(p)$

while $\neg S.isEmpty()$

  $y \leftarrow S.remove(S.first())$

  if $y < x$
    $L.insertLast(y)$
  else if $y = x$
    $E.insertLast(y)$
  else
    $G.insertLast(y)$

return $L, E, G$
Quick-Sort Tree

An execution of quick-sort is depicted by a binary tree

- Each node represents a recursive call of quick-sort and stores
  - Unsorted sequence before the execution and its pivot
  - Sorted sequence at the end of the execution
- The root is the initial call
- The leaves are calls on subsequences of size 0 or 1
Execution Example

Pivot selection

7 2 9 4 3 7 6 1

Diagram showing the pivot selection process in a sorting algorithm.
Execution Example (cont.)

Partition, recursive call, pivot selection

7 2 9 4 3 7 6 1

2 4 3 1

2 4 3 1
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Execution Example (cont.)

Partition, recursive call, base case

7 2 9 4 3 7 6 1
2 4 3 1
1 → 1
1 → 1
Execution Example (cont.)

- Recursive call, ..., base case, join
Execution Example (cont.)

Recursive call, pivot selection

```
7  2  9  4  3  7  6  1

2  4  3  1 → 1  2  3  4

1 → 1

4  3 → 3  4

1  2  3  4

7  9  7

1  4

4 → 4
```

Spring 2007
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Execution Example (cont.)

Partition, ..., recursive call, base case

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6 1
```

```
2 4 3 1 → 1 2 3 4
```

```
1 → 1
```

```
4 3 → 3 4
```

```
4 → 4
```

```
7 9 7
```

```
9 → 9
```
Execution Example (cont.)

Join, join

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
7 & 2 & 9 & 4 & 3 & 7 & 6 & 1 & \rightarrow & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 9 \\
\end{array}
\]
Worst-case Running Time

- The worst case for quick-sort occurs when the pivot is the unique minimum or maximum element.
- One of $L$ and $G$ has size $n - 1$ and the other has size 0.
- The running time is proportional to the sum:
  $$n + (n - 1) + \ldots + 2 + 1$$

- Thus, the worst-case running time of quick-sort is $O(n^2)$.
Expected Running Time

Consider a recursive call of quick-sort on a sequence of size $s$

- **Good call**: the sizes of $L$ and $G$ are each less than $3s/4$
- **Bad call**: one of $L$ and $G$ has size greater than $3s/4$

A call is **good** with probability $1/2$

- $1/2$ of the possible pivots cause good calls:

```
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6 1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6 1
```

```
2 4 3 1
```

```
7 9 7
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 9 7
```

```
2 4 3 1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```

```
7 2 9 4 3 7 6
```

```
6 1
```

```
1
```
Expected Running Time, Part 2

- **Probabilistic Fact:** The expected number of coin tosses required in order to get \( k \) heads is \( 2^k \)

- For a node of depth \( i \), we expect
  - \( i/2 \) ancestors are good calls
  - The size of the input sequence for the current call is at most \( (3/4)^{i/2} n \)
    - Since each **good** call shrinks size to at most \( 3/4 \) of previous size

Therefore, we have
- For a node of depth \( 2\log_{4/3} n \), the expected input size is one
- The expected height of the quick-sort tree is \( O(\log n) \)

- The amount or work done at the nodes of the same depth is \( O(n) \)

Thus, the expected running time of quick-sort is \( O(n \log n) \)

\[
(\frac{3}{4})^i n = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad i = 2\log_{\frac{3}{4}} n
\]
Average-Case Complexity of Linear Search

What is the average-case complexity of linear search (described in Chapter 3) if the probability that $x$ is in the list is $p$ and it is equally likely that $x$ is any of the $n$ elements of the list?

```plaintext
procedure linear search (x: integer, a_1, a_2, ..., a_n: distinct integers)
  i := 1
  while (i ≤ n and x ≠ a_i)
    i := i + 1
    if i ≤ n then location := i
    else location := 0
  return location{location is the subscript of the term that equals x, or is 0 if x is not found}
```

continued →
Average-Case Complexity of Linear Search

**Solution:** There are \( n + 1 \) possible types of input: one type for each of the \( n \) numbers on the list and one additional type for the numbers not on the list. Recall that:
- \( 2i + 1 \) comparisons are needed if \( x \) equals the \( i \)th element of the list.
- \( 2n + 2 \) comparisons are used if \( x \) is not on the list.

The probability that \( x \) equals \( a_i \) is \( p/n \) and the probability that \( x \) is not in the list is \( q = 1 - p \). The average-case computational complexity of the linear search algorithm is:

\[
E = 3p/n + 5p/n + ... + (2n + 1)p/n + (2n + 2)q \\
= (p/n)(3 + 5 + ... + (2n + 1)) + (2n + 2)q \\
= (p/n)((n + 1)^2 - 1) + (2n + 2)q \quad \text{(Example 2 from Section 5.1)}
\]

- When \( x \) is guaranteed to be in the list, \( p = 1, \ q = 0 \), so that \( E = n + 2 \).
- When \( p \) is \( 1/2 \) and \( q = 1/2 \), then \( E = (n + 2)/2 + n + 1 = (3n + 4)/2 \).
- When \( p \) is \( 3/4 \) and \( q = 1/4 \), then \( E = (n + 2)/4 + (n + 1)/2 = (5n + 8)/4 \).
- When \( x \) is guaranteed not to be in the list, \( p = 0 \) and \( q = 1 \), then \( E = 2n + 2 \).
Average-Case Complexity of Insertion Sort

What is the average number of comparisons used by insertion sort from Chapter 3) to sort \( n \) distinct elements?

- At step \( i \) for \( i = 2, \ldots, n \), insertion sort inserts the \( i \)th element in the original list into the correct position in the sorted list of the first \( i - 1 \) elements.

---

```plaintext
procedure insertion sort
(a_1, \ldots, a_n \text{: reals with } n \geq 2)

for j := 2 to n
    i := 1
    while a_j > a_i
        i := i + 1
    m := a_j
    for k := 0 to j - i - 1
        a_{j-k} := a_{j-k-1}
        a_i := m

{Now \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) is in increasing order}
```

continued →
Average-Case Complexity of Insertion Sort

**Solution:** Let $X$ be the random variable equal to the number of comparisons used by insertion sort to sort a list of $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ distinct elements. $E(X)$ is the average number of comparisons.

- Let $X_i$ be the random variable equal to the number of comparisons used to insert $a_i$ into the proper position after the first $i-1$ elements $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{i-1}$ have been sorted.
- Since $X = X_2 + X_3 + \ldots + X_n$, 
  
  $$E(X) = E(X_2 + X_3 + \ldots + X_n) = E(X_2) + E(X_3) + \ldots + E(X_n).$$

To find $E(X_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$, let $p_j(k)$ be the probability that the largest of the first $j$ elements in the list occurs at the $k$th position, that is, $\max(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_j) = a_k$, where $1 \leq k \leq j$.

- Assume uniform distribution; $p_j(k) = 1/j$.
- Then $X_j(k) = k$.

continued →
Average-Case Complexity of Insertion Sort

Since \( a_i \) could be inserted into any of the first \( i \) positions

\[
E(X_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{i} p_i(k) \cdot X_i(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{1}{i} \cdot k = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{k=1}^{i} k = \frac{1}{i} \cdot \frac{i(i+1)}{2} = \frac{i+1}{2}
\]

It follows that

\[
E(X) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} E(X_i) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{i+1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=3}^{n+1} j
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1 + 2) = \frac{n^2 + 3n - 4}{4}
\]

\[\theta(n^2)\]

Hence, the average-case complexity is
Definition 2: A random variable $X$ has geometric distribution with parameter $p$ if $p(X = k) = (1 - p)^{k-1}p$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, where $p$ is a real number with $0 \leq p \leq 1$.

Theorem 4: If the random variable $X$ has the geometric distribution with parameter $p$, then $E(X) = 1/p$.

Example: Suppose the probability that a coin comes up tails is $p$. What is the expected number of flips until this coin comes up tails?

- The sample space is $\{T, HT, HHT, HHHT, HHHHT, \ldots\}$.
- Let $X$ be the random variable equal to the number of flips in an element of the sample space; $X(T) = 1$, $X(HT) = 2$, $X(HHT) = 3$, etc.
- By Theorem 4, $E(X) = 1/p$.

*see text for full details*
Independent Random Variables

**Definition 3:** The random variables $X$ and $Y$ on a sample space $S$ are independent if

$$p(X = r_1 \text{ and } Y = r_2) = p(X = r_1) \cdot p(Y = r_2).$$

**Theorem 5:** If $X$ and $Y$ are independent variables on a sample space $S$, then $E(XY) = E(X)E(Y)$. 
Variance

**Deviation:** The *deviation* of $X$ at $s \in S$ is $X(s) - E(X)$, the difference between the value of $X$ and the mean of $X$.

**Definition 4:** Let $X$ be a random variable on the sample space $S$. The *variance* of $X$, denoted by $V(X)$ is

$$V(X) = \sum_{s \in S} (X(s) - E(X))^2 p(s).$$

That is $V(X)$ is the weighted average of the square of the deviation of $X$. The standard deviation of $X$, denoted by $\sigma(X)$ is defined to be $\sqrt{V(X)}$.

**Theorem 6:** If $X$ is a random variable on a sample space $S$, then

$$V(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2.$$

*see text for the proof*

**Corollary 1:** If $X$ is a random variable on a sample space $S$ and $E(X) = \mu$, then $V(X) = E((X - \mu)^2)$.

*see text for the proof*
Example: What is the variance of the random variable $X$, where $X(t) = 1$ if a Bernoulli trial is a success and $X(t) = 0$ if it is a failure, where $p$ is the probability of success and $q$ is the probability of failure?

Solution: Because $X$ takes only the values 0 and 1, it follows that $X^2(t) = X(t)$. Hence,

$$V(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2 = p - p^2 = p(1 - p) = pq.$$ 

Variance of the Value of a Die: What is the variance of a random variable $X$, where $X$ is the number that comes up when a fair die is rolled?

Solution: We have $V(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2$. In an earlier example, we saw that $E(X) = 7/2$. Note that

$$E(X^2) = 1/6(1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 + 5^2 + 6^2) = 91/6.$$ 

We conclude that

$$V(X) = \frac{91}{6} - \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{35}{12}.$$
Variance

Bienaymé's Formula: If $X$ and $Y$ are two independent random variables on a sample space $S$, then $V(X + Y) = V(X) + V(Y)$. Furthermore, if $X_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$, with $n$ a positive integer, are pairwise independent random variables on $S$, then

$$V(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n) = V(X_1) + V(X_2) + \cdots + V(X_n).$$

Example: Find the variance of the number of successes when $n$ independent Bernoulli trials are performed, where on each trial, $p$ is the probability of success and $q$ is the probability of failure.

Solution: Let $X_i$ be the random variable with $X_i((t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)) = 1$ if trial $t_i$ is a success and $X_i((t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)) = 0$ if it is a failure. Let $X = X_2 + X_3 + \ldots + X_n$. Then $X$ counts the number of successes in the $n$ trials.

- By Bienaymé's Formula, it follows that $V(X) = V(X_1) + V(X_2) + \cdots + V(X_n)$.
- By the previous example, $V(X_i) = pq$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

Hence, $V(X) = npq$. 

see text for the proof
Chebyshev’s Inequality: Let $X$ be a random variable on a sample space $S$ with probability function $p$. If $r$ is a positive real number, then

$$p(|X(s) - E(X)| \geq r) \leq \frac{V(X)}{r^2}.$$  

Example: Suppose that $X$ is a random variable that counts the number of tails when a fair coin is tossed $n$ times. Note that $X$ is the number of successes when $n$ independent Bernoulli trials, each with probability of success $1/2$ are done. Hence, (by Theorem 2) $E(X) = n/2$ and (by Example 18) $V(X) = n/4$.

By Chebyshev’s inequality with $r = \sqrt{n}$,

$$p(|X(s) - n/2| \geq \sqrt{n}) \leq \frac{(n/4)(\sqrt{n})^2}{(\sqrt{n})^2} = \frac{1}{4}.$$  

This means that the probability that the number of tails that come up on $n$ tosses deviates from the mean, $n/2$, by more than $\sqrt{n}$ is no larger than $1/4$.  
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